



DELBERT HOSEMANN
Secretary of State

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. An Economic Impact Statement must be attached to this Form and address the factors below. A PDF document containing this executed Form and the Economic Impact Statement must be filed with any proposed rule, if required by the aforementioned statute.

AGENCY NAME Division of Medicaid	CONTACT PERSON Margaret Wilson	TELEPHONE NUMBER (601) 359-5248
ADDRESS 550 High Street	CITY Jackson	STATE MS
EMAIL Margaret.Wilson@medicaid.ms.gov	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE Title 23: Medicaid, Part 223: Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT), Chapter 1: General, Rule 1.1: Program Description, Rule 1.2: Provider Enrollment and Participation Requirements, Rule 1.3: Early and Periodic Screening Services, Rule 1.4: Periodicity Schedule, Rule 1.5: Screening Components, Rule 1.6: Documentation Requirements for EPSDT Screenings, Rule 1.7: Diagnostic and Treatment Program Services and New Rule 1.8: Reimbursement.	
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule: 42 USC § 1396d; 42 CFR Part 441, Subpart B, 42 CFR § 441.58; Miss. Code Ann. §§ 43-13-117, 43-13-118, 43-13-121, 43-13-129, SPA 15-017.	Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule: Rules 1.1-1.7 and New Rule 1.8.	

SIGNATURE 	TITLE Executive Director
DATE 8/4/14	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE OCT 01 2016

- Describe the need for the proposed action:
AAP Bright Futures Periodicity Schedule will provide a common framework for EPSDT providers leading to more comprehensive health supervision and continuity of care for Medicaid beneficiaries receiving EPSDT screenings and services.
- Describe the benefits which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action:
EPSDT beneficiaries will receive the same screenings regardless of the EPSDT provider the beneficiary chooses. Effective November 1, 2015, EPSDT providers are required to adhere to the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) Bright Futures Periodicity Schedule which is a national health promotion and disease prevention initiative providing a common framework for periodic and interperiodic visits.
- Describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare:

The AAP Bright Futures Periodicity Schedule ensures a comprehensive health approach for beneficiaries receiving EPSDT screenings and services.

4. Estimate the cost to the agency and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues:
The estimated cost to the agency minimal and there is no estimated cost to any other state or local government entities for implementing the proposed action.
5. Estimate the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action:
There is no estimated cost or economic benefit to persons directly affected by the proposed action.
6. Provide an analysis of the impact of the proposed rule on small business: *N/A*
 - a. Identify and estimate the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation:
N/A
 - b. Provide the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record: *N/A*
 - c. State the probable effect on impacted small businesses: *N/A*
 - d. Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation including the following regulatory flexibility analysis: *N/A*
 - i. The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - ii. The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - iii. The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses;
 - iv. The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and
 - v. The exemption of some or all small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulations: *N/A*
7. Compare the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule:
The benefit of adopting the rule is substantially more than not adopting the rule. The cost of adopting the rule is minimally more than not adopting the rule.
8. Determine whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are not precluded by law:
There is no other less costly or less intrusive method for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule.
9. Describe reasonable alternative methods, where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action which were considered by the agency: *N/A*
10. State reasons for rejecting alternative methods that were described in #9 above: *N/A*
11. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in making estimates required by this subsection:
There was no economic impact at the time of the submittal of SPA 15-017 EPSDT. However, since the approval of SPA 15-017 EPSDT, the AAP Bright Futures Periodicity Schedule was revised to include a depression screening for 11 to 20 year olds and an autism screening for 18 and 24 month olds. Therefore, the economic impact for this filing is a total of \$796,427.68 which includes \$465,256.80 for the depression screenings and \$331,170.88 for the autism screenings. There were approximately 48,426 beneficiaries who had EPSDT screenings in state fiscal year (SFY) 16 who would be eligible for the required autism screenings at a cost of \$6.88 per screening which equals \$331,170.88. There were approximately 54,416 beneficiaries who

had EPSDT screenings in SFY16 who would be eligible for the required depression screening at a cost of \$8.55 per screening which equals \$465,256.80.