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THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 31 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and reviewing the collection of information.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.
Section 1
CSBG Administrative Information

1.1. Identify whether this is a one-year or a two-year plan.  ☐ One-Year  ☑ Two-Year

1.1a. Provide the federal fiscal years this plan covers:  Year One 2022  Year Two  

GUIDANCE: If a state indicates “One-Year” under 1.1., they will only have to provide a response for “Year One”

1.2. Lead Agency: Update the following information in relation to the lead agency designated to administer CSBG in the state, as required by Section 676(a) of the CSBG Act. Information should reflect the responses provided in the Application for Federal Assistance, SF-424M.

Has information in regards to the state lead agency changed since the last submission of the state plan?  ☐ Yes  ☑ No

If yes, provide the date of change and select the fields that have been updated [Date Picker and Check all the apply]

☐ Lead Agency  ☐ Department Type  ☐ Office
☐ Authorized Official  ☐ Street Address  ☐ City
☐ Zip Code  ☐ Work Number  ☐ Fax Number
☐ Email Address  ☐ Website

1.2a. Lead agency Mississippi Department of Human Services

GUIDANCE: This should only include the exact name of the lead agency and an acronym (as applicable).

EXAMPLE: Office of Community Services (OCS)

Mississippi Department of Human Services (MDHS)

1.2b. Cabinet or administrative department of this lead agency [Check One and narrative where applicable]

☐ Community Affairs Department
☐ Community Services Department
☐ Governor’s Office
☐ Health Department
☐ Housing Department
☒ Human Services Department
☐ Social Services Department
☐ Other, describe: [Narrative, 100 characters]

1.2c. Cabinet or Administrative Department Name: Provide the name of the cabinet or administrative department of the CSBG authorized official

Mississippi Department of Human Services

1.2d. Authorized official of the lead agency. The authorized official could be the
director, secretary, commissioner etc. as assigned in the designation letter (attached under item 1.3.). The authorized official is the person indicated as authorized representative on the SF-424M. [Narrative, 50 Characters each]

Name Robert (Bob) G. Anderson
Title Executive Director

1.2e. Street Address 200 South Lamar St.
1.2f. City Jackson
1.2g. State MS
1.2h. Zip Code 39201
1.2i. Work Telephone Number and Extension (if applicable) 601-359-4457
1.2j. Fax Number 601-359-4477
1.2k. Email Address bob.anderson@mdhs.ms.gov
1.2l. Lead Agency Website www.mdhs.ms.gov

Note: Item 1.2. pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Item A.1.

1.3. Designation Letter: Attach the state’s official CSBG designation letter. A new designation letter is required if the chief executive officer of the state and/or designated agency has changed. [Attach a document.]

GUIDANCE: The designation letter should be updated whenever there is a change to the designee.

Instructional Note: The letter should be from the chief executive officer of the state and include, at minimum, the designated state CSBG lead agency and title of the authorized official of the lead agency who is to administer the CSBG grant award.

1.4. CSBG Point of Contact: Provide the following information in relation to the designated state CSBG point of contact. The state CSBG point of contact should be the person that will be the main point of contact for CSBG within the state.

Has information regarding to the state point of contact changed since the last submission of the state plan? _ Yes X No

If yes, provide the date of change and select the fields that have been updated [Date Picker and Check all the apply]

☐ Agency Name    ☐ Point of Contact    ☐ Street Address
☐ City           ☐ State            ☐ Zip Code
☐ Work Number    ☐ Fax Number       ☐ Email Address
☐ Website

1.4a. Agency Name MDHS- Division of Community Services
1.4b. Point of Contact Name
Name Tina M. Ruffin  Title Office Director

1.4c. Street Address 750 North State Street

1.4d. City Jackson

1.4e. State MS

1.4f. Zip Code 39202

1.4g. Work Telephone Number 601-359-4768

1.4h. Fax Number 601-359-4370

1.4i. Email Address tina.ruffin@mdhs.ms.gov

1.4j. Agency Website www.mdhs.ms.gov

1.5. Provide the following information in relation to the State Community Action Association.

GUIDANCE: Under this question, please respond yes and provide the information if there is an entity or organization that serves in the capacity of the state community action association that is within your state, whether voluntarily or contractually. If the state community action association is located outside of the state, or if there is a singular entity within the state that serves as the Community Action Association, please answer no to this question.

There is currently a state Community Action Association within the state. [ ] Yes  [ ] No

Has information in regard to the state Community Action Association changed since the last submission of the state plan?  [ ] Yes  [x] No

If yes, provide the date of change and select the fields that have been updated.  [Date Picker and Check all the apply]

☐ Agency Name  _ Executive Director  _ Street Address
☐ City  ☐ State  _ Zip Code
☐ Work Number  ☐ Fax Number  ☐ Email Address
☐ Website  ☐ RPIC Lead

1.5a. Agency name Mississippi Association of Community Action Agencies

1.5b. Executive Director or Point of Contact [Narrative, 50 characters each]
Name Nicole Claiborne  Title Executive Director

1.5c. Street Address 4500 I-55, Suite 249

1.5d. City Jackson

1.5e. State MS

1.5f. Zip Code 39211
1.5g. Telephone Number  601-882-5980

1.5h. Fax Number [Numerical Response, 10 digits]

1.5i. Email Address   nclaiborne@msacaa.com

1.5j. State Association Website   www.msacaa.com

1.5k. State Association currently serves as the Regional Performance Innovation Consortia (RPIC) lead

   ___ Yes  X__ No
Section 2
State Legislation and Regulation

2.1. **CSBG State Legislation**: State has a statute authorizing CSBG.  ○ Yes ☒ No

2.2. **CSBG State Regulation**: State has regulations for CSBG.  ○ Yes ☒ No

2.3. **Legislation/Regulation Document**: Attach the legislation and/or regulations or provide a hyperlink(s) to the documents indicated under Items 2.1. and/or Item 2.2. [Attach a document and/or provide a link, 1500 characters]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GUIDANCE: The labeling of all attachments should include the question number for which the document provides supplementary information, the question heading, and the type of document provided. As an example, a state statutory document could be labeled as:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2.4. **State Authority**: Select a response for each of the following items about the state statute and/or regulations authorizing CSBG:

2.4a. **Authorizing Legislation**: State legislature enacts authorizing legislation or amendments to an existing authorizing statute last federal fiscal year.  ○ Yes ☒ No

2.4b. **Regulation Amendments**: State established or amended regulations for CSBG last federal fiscal year.  ○ Yes ☒ No
Section 3
State Plan Development and Statewide Goals

3.1. **CSBG Lead Agency Mission and Responsibilities:** Briefly describe the mission and responsibilities of the state agency that serves as the CSBG lead agency.

CSBG is administered by the Mississippi Department of Human Services (MDHS)-Division of Community Services. MDHS is a primary link between families and individuals with specific needs vital to their survival and the services available to meet those needs.

The mission of MDHS is to deliver, within established guidelines, a broad range of services to a diverse population in a professional, timely, accurate, and compassionate manner. MDHS will further its mission by assisting those in need to overcome adversity, dependency, lack of self-esteem, gain self-confidence and self-sufficiency. It supports the community action vision and values through:

a) Professional, accountable, and responsible administration of CSBG;
   Development of effective partnerships with CSBG entities, MDHS-DCS, the state association and other State and Federal programs serving low-income individuals.

3.2. **State Plan Goals:** Describe the state’s CSBG-specific goals for state administration of CSBG under this State Plan. **[Narrative, 3000 characters]**

**GUIDANCE:** States should take into account feedback from OCS, their eligible entities, and the ACSI survey completed by eligible entities when creating their state plan goals.

**Instructional Note:** For examples of “goals,” see State Accountability Measure 1Sa(i).

**Note:** This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 1Sa(i) and pre-populates the state’s Annual Report, Module 1, Item B.1.

The State will form a workgroup with eligible entities to analyze our COVID-19 response and revise policy regarding emergency services to include pandemic services. The State will monitor all entities annually. For entities with findings, the State will conduct onsite visit to assist with resolution. The State will conduct additional training on Organizational Standards with the goal of 95% of entities meeting all standards for 2021.

3.3. **State Plan Development:** Indicate the information and input the state accessed to develop this State Plan.

3.3a. **Analysis of state-level tools [Check all that applies and narrative where applicable]**

- State Performance Indicators and/or National Performance Indicators
3.3b. **Analysis of local-level tools [Check all that applies and narrative where applicable]**
- Eligible entity community needs assessments
- Eligible entity community action plans
- Public Hearings/Workshops
- Tools not identified above (e.g., state required reports) [specify] [Narrative, 500 characters]

3.3c. **Consultation with [Check all that applies and narrative where applicable]**
- Eligible entities (e.g., meetings, conferences, webinars; not including the public hearing)
- State Association
- National Association for State Community Services Programs (NASCSP)
- Community Action Partnership (The Partnership)
- Community Action Program Legal Services (CAPLAW)
- CSBG Tribal Training and Technical Assistance (T/TA) provider
- Regional Performance Innovation Consortium (RPIC)
- Association for Nationally Certified ROMA Trainers (ANCRT)
- Federal CSBG Office
- Organizations not identified above (specify) [Narrative, 500 characters]

3.4. **Eligible Entity Involvement**

3.4a. **Describe the specific steps the state took in developing the State Plan to involve the eligible entities. [Narrative, 3000 Characters]**

**Note:** This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 1Sa(ii) and may pre-populate the state’s annual report form.

A copy of the draft state plan was emailed to the eligible entities and the state association. The State attended an association meeting to discuss the state plan and asked for comments and suggestions. The state plan was added to the Virtual ROMA website for all eligible entity staff to provide feedback. The state plan was presented at the public hearing for input and comments. Comments will be accepted through July 20, 2021.

3.4b. **Performance Management Adjustment:** Describe how the state has adjusted its State Plan development procedures under this State Plan, as
compared to previous plans, in order to 1) encourage eligible entity participation and 2) ensure the State Plan reflects input from eligible entities? Any adjustment should be based on the state’s analysis of past performance in these areas, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any adjustments, provide further detail. [Narrative, 3000 Characters]

Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 1Sb(i) and (ii) and pre-populate the Annual Report, Module 1, Item B.1.

The State's process allows the state plan to be electronically submitted to entities which improves the efficiency of responses from all entity staff to include all levels (case workers, receptionists, etc.)

3.5. **Eligible Entity Overall Satisfaction**: Provide the state’s target for eligible entity Overall Satisfaction during the performance period. Year One 77% Year Two ____________________________ [Numerical, 3 digits]

Instructional Note: The state’s target score will indicate improvement or maintenance of the states’ Overall Satisfaction score from the most recent American Customer Survey Index (ACSI) survey of the state’s eligible entities.

Note: Item 3.5 is associated with State Accountability Measure 8S and may pre-populate the state’s annual report form.

**GUIDANCE:** The targets reported here should match the future target set in the Annual Report, Section B, Table B.2.

**GUIDANCE:** Review the ACSI IM about setting targets for your eligible entity overall satisfaction that are realistic, reasonable, attainable, and possible.
Section 4
CSBG Hearing Requirements

4.1. **Public Inspection:** Describe the steps taken by the state to disseminate this State Plan to the public for review and comments prior to the public hearing, as required under Section 676(e)(2) of the Act. [*Narrative, 2500 Characters]*

**GUIDANCE:** Under this question, detail how the state provided the State Plan to the public, including providing sufficient time (ideally no fewer than 30 days) for the public to provide feedback prior to the public hearing. Distribution to the public should include distribution directly to the eligible entities in the state as well as any other interested parties.

The State will post the state plan (administrative procedures notice) with the Secretary of State’s office no less than 30 days of the public hearing. At any time within the thirty-day public comment period, written submissions including arguments, data, and views on the proposed rule/amendment/repeal may be submitted to the State. The proposed plan is uploaded to the Virtual ROMA website for comments and feedback from eligible entities. Notice of the public hearing appeared in the Clarion Ledger newspaper, which has statewide distribution, and eleven other newspaper on June 10, 2021 and July 6, 2021. The availability of the FFY 2022 CSBG State Plan for inspection was made known in the Public Hearing announcement posted in the newspapers. The state plan was made available for public inspection by calling the MDHS-DCS, as stated in the public hearing announcement. Opportunities for comment were available during the public hearing.

4.2. **Public Notice/Hearing:** Describe how the state ensured there was sufficient time and statewide distribution of notice of the public hearing(s) to allow the public to comment on the State Plan, as required under 676(a)(2)(B) of the CSBG Act. [*Narrative, 2500 Characters]*

An administrative procedures notice is filed with the Secretary of State thirty (30) calendar days before the public hearing. At any time within the thirty-day public comment period, written submissions including arguments, data, and views on the proposed rule/amendment/repeal may be submitted to the State. The proposed plan is uploaded to the Virtual ROMA website for comments and feedback from eligible entities. Notice of the public hearing appeared in the Clarion Ledger newspaper, which has statewide distribution, and eleven other newspaper on June 10, 2021 and July 6, 2021. The availability of the FFY 2022 CSBG State Plan for inspection was made known in the Public Hearing announcement posted in the newspapers. The state plan was made available for public inspection by calling the MDHS-DCS, as stated in the public hearing announcement. Opportunities for comment were available during the public hearing.
4.3. **Public and Legislative Hearings:** In the table below, specify the date(s) and location(s) of the public and legislative hearing(s) held by the designated lead agency for this State Plan, as required under Section 676(a)(2)(B) and Section 676(a)(3) of the Act.

*Instructional Note:* A public hearing is required for each new submission of the State Plan. The date(s) for the public hearing(s) must have occurred in the year prior to the first federal fiscal year covered by this plan. Legislative hearings are held at least every three years, and must have occurred within the last three years prior to the first federal fiscal year covered by this plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Type of Hearing [Select an option]</th>
<th>If a Combined Hearing was held confirm that the public was invited.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July 13, 2021</td>
<td>Mississippi Department of Human Services, 200 South Lamar St., Jackson, MS 39201 “Zoom Meeting”</td>
<td>○ Public ○ Legislative X Combined</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADD a ROW function** Note: States will be able to add as needed for each additional hearing.

**GUIDANCE:** A combined hearing refers to having one joint public and legislative hearing.

4.4. **Attach supporting documentation or a hyperlink for the public and legislative hearings.**

[Attach supporting documentation or provide a hyperlink(s), 500 characters]

**GUIDANCE:** Supporting documentation may include, but is not limited to, agendas, sign-in sheets, transcripts, and notices/advertisements of the hearings. All attachments should include the question number, question heading, type of document and the date of the hearing/meeting (as applicable).

**EXAMPLE:** An agenda would be named: 4.4. Public and Legislative Hearings Agenda 062117

See Public Hearing Notice Attachment
### Section 5
### CSBG Eligible Entities

5.1. **CSBG Eligible Entities**: In the table below, indicate whether eligible entity in the state public or private, the type(s) of entity, and the geographical area served by the entity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSBG Eligible Entity</th>
<th>Geographical Area Served (by county) [Provide all counties]</th>
<th>Public or Nonprofit</th>
<th>Type of Entity (choose all that apply)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AJFC Community Action Agency, Inc.</td>
<td>Adams, Claiborne, Copiah, Franklin, Jefferson, Lawrence, Lincoln</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivar County CAA, Inc.</td>
<td>Bolivar</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Mississippi, Inc.</td>
<td>Attala, Carroll, Grenada, Holmes, Leflore, Montgomery, Yalobusha</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coahoma Opportunities, Inc.</td>
<td>Coahoma</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinds County Human Resource Agency</td>
<td>Hinds</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County Civic Action Committee</td>
<td>Jackson, George, Harrison</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFT, Inc.</td>
<td>Calhoun, Chickasaw, Itawamba, Lafayette, Lee, Monroe, Pontotoc, Union</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-State Opportunity, Inc.</td>
<td>Desoto, Panola, Quitman, Tallahatchie, Tate, Tunica</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-County</td>
<td>Clarke, Jasper, Kemper, Lauderdale,</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Services Agency</td>
<td>Neshoba, Scott, Smith, Wayne, Newton</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Mississippi Community Services</td>
<td>Alcorn, Benton, Marshall, Prentiss, Tippah, Tishomingo</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl River Valley Opportunity, Inc.</td>
<td>Covington, Forrest, Jefferson Davis, Jones, Lamar, Marion, Pearl River, Perry, Greene, Hancock, Stone</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central Community Action Agency, Inc.</td>
<td>Madison, Rankin, Simpson</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower-Humphreys County Progress, Inc.</td>
<td>Humphreys, Sunflower</td>
<td>Nonprofit</td>
<td>Community Action Agency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

THE ADD-A-ROW FUNCTION WILL NOT BE AVAILABLE ON THIS TABLE. ANY ADDITIONS/DELETIONS TO THE ELIGIBLE ENTITY LIST SHOULD BE MADE WITHIN THE MASTER LIST.
Note: Table 5.1. pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Table C.1.

GUIDANCE: Under Type of Entity, select more than one type by holding down the CTRL key while making selections.

Note: Whether nonprofit or public, entities that receive CSBG funds are generally considered to be Community Action Agencies for the purpose of administering CSBG. The only specific exceptions outlined in the CSBG Act are Limited Purpose Agencies, Migrant and Seasonal Farmworker organizations, and Tribes and Tribal Organizations.

Instructional Note: Limited Purpose Agency refers to an eligible entity that was designated as a limited purpose agency under Title II of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964 for fiscal year 1981, that served the general purposes of a community action agency under Title II of the Economic Opportunity Act, that did not lose its designation as a limited purpose agency under Title II of the Economic Opportunity Act as a result of failure to comply with that Act and that has not lost its designation as an eligible entity under the CSBG Act.

Instructional Note: 90 percent funds are the funds a state provides to eligible entities to carry out the purposes of the CSBG Act, as described under Section 675C of the CSBG Act. A state must provide “no less than 90 percent“ of their CSBG allocation, under Section 675B, to the eligible entities.

5.2. Total number of CSBG eligible entities: [This will automatically update based on Table 5.1.]

5.3. Changes to Eligible Entities List: Within the tables below, describe any changes that have occurred to the Eligible Entities within the state since the last federal fiscal Year (FFY), as applicable.

One or more of the following changes were made to the eligible entity list: [Check all that apply].

- [ ] Designation and/or Re-Designation
- [ ] De-designations and/or Voluntary Relinquishments
- [ ] Mergers
- [X] No Changes to Eligible Entities List

GUIDANCE: The following three questions will only need to be answered based on your response to 5.3.

5.3a. Designation and Re-Designation: Identify any new entities that have been designated as eligible entities, as defined under Section 676A of the Act, since the last federal fiscal year. Include any eligible entities designated to serve an area previously not served by CSBG as well as any entities designated to replace another eligible entity that was terminated (de-designated).
5.3b. **De-Designations and Voluntary Relinquishments**: Identify any entities that are no longer receiving CSBG funding. Include any eligible entities that have been terminated (de-designated) as defined under Section 676(c) and Section 676C of the Act, or voluntarily relinquished their CSBG eligible entity status since the last federal fiscal year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSBG Eligible Entity</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Geographical Area Served</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Narrative, 150 characters]</td>
<td>[Dropdown: Designation, Re-Designation]</td>
<td>[Date Picker]</td>
<td>[Narrative, 550 characters]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADD-A-ROW FUNCTION.** Note: States will be able to add a row as needed.

**GUIDANCE:** A designation refers to an entity that was not receiving funding in the previous federal fiscal year(s) and/or was not included in the previous CSBG State Plan. Re-designation implies that an entity that is already designated/receiving funds is now performing the duties and receiving funds that were previously designated to another entity, in addition to the funding that they are already receiving. This is different from a merger as an entity is not absorbing another entity. This re-designation may be permanent (requires a formula redistribution) or temporary while the state has officially designated a new entity and has completed a formula redistribution. See CSBG Act 676A, *Designation and Redesignation,…*, for more information.

5.3c. **Mergers**: In the table below, provide information about any mergers or other combinations of two or more eligible entities that were each listed in the prior year state plan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original CSBG Eligible Entities</th>
<th>Surviving CSBG Eligible Entity</th>
<th>New Name (as applicable)</th>
<th>DUNS No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Narrative, 500 characters]</td>
<td>[Narrative, 150 characters]</td>
<td>[Narrative, 150 characters]</td>
<td>[Narrative, 150 characters]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADD-A-ROW FUNCTION.** Note: States will be able to add a row as needed.

**GUIDANCE:** In the case that an organization that was not previously a CSBG eligible entity, merges with a previously existing CSBG eligible entity, and is the surviving entity, the new entity should be listed under 5.3a. as a new designation, while the previously funded CSBG eligible entity should be included under 5.3b.
Section 6
Organizational Standards for Eligible Entities

Note: Reference IM 138, *State Establishment of Organizational Standards for CSBG Eligible Entities*, for more information on Organizational Standards. Click HERE for IM 138.

6.1. **Choice of Standards**: Confirm whether the state will implement the CSBG Organizational Standards Center of Excellence (COE) organizational standards (as described in IM 138) or an alternative set during the federal fiscal year(s) of this planning period. [Select one]

- COE CSBG Organizational Standards
- Modified version of COE CSBG Organizational Standards
- Alternative set of organizational standards

Note: Item 6.1. pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Item D.1.

6.1a. **Modified Organizational Standards**: In the case that the state is requesting to use modified COE-developed organizational standards, provide the proposed modification for the FFY of this planning period including the rationale. [Narrative, 2500 characters]

The State will use a modified version of the COE-developed organizational standards to comply with state policies already in place. Mississippi uses a “Community Strengths and Needs Assessment” instead of the term “community assessment”. The modified COE-developed organizational standards are:

- Standard 3.1 - The organization will conduct a community strengths and needs assessment yearly.
- Standard 5.5 - The board fills vacancies as set out in its bylaws and state board policy.
- Standard 9.4 - The organization submits the Annual report to MDHS-DCS.

6.1b. **Alternative Organizational Standards**: If using an alternative set of organizational standards, attach the complete list of alternative organizational standards. [Attachment (as applicable)]

6.1c. **Alternative Organizational Standards**: If using an alternative set of organizational standards: 1) provide any changes from the last set provided during the previous State Plan submission; 2) describe the reasons for using alternative standards; and 3) describe how they are at least as rigorous as the COE-developed standards.

X There were no changes from the previous State Plan submission [Narrative, 2500 characters if not selected]

Provide reason for using alternative standards [Narrative, 2500 characters]
Describe rigor compared to COE-developed Standards [Narrative, 2500 characters]

6.2. Implementation: Check the box that best describes how the state officially adopted organizational standards for eligible entities in the state in a manner consistent with the state’s administrative procedures act. If “Other” is selected, provide a timeline and additional information, as necessary. [Check all that applies and narrative (as applicable)]

- Regulation
- X Policy
- X Contracts with eligible entities
- Other, describe: [Narrative, 5000 characters]

6.3. Organizational Standards Assessment: Describe how the state will assess eligible entities against organizational standards this federal fiscal year(s). [Check all that apply]

a) Peer-to-peer review (with validation by the state or state-authorized third party)
b) Self-assessment (with validation by the state or state-authorized third party)
c) Self-assessment/peer review with state risk analysis
d) State-authorized third party validation
e) Regular, on-site CSBG monitoring
- X Other – Web Form

6.3 a. Assessment Process: Describe the planned assessment process. [Narrative, 5000 characters]

GUIDANCE: Descriptions should also include improvements to the process made since the previous year including any new processes to increase efficiency or consistency of assessments.

The State implemented a web-based Smartsheet application. Standards were input into Smartsheet. Eligible entities are required to attach documents to verify standards are met. A review of the Smartsheet is conducted by the State and the State Association. A letter is sent by the State to entity to list the standards not met, and a date given for the entity to respond with documentation to meet standards. If the entity has not met standards after second review, additional T&T A will be provided. A TAP will be issued after 90 days, if entity has not met standards following T&T A.

6.4. Eligible Entity Exemptions: Will the state make exceptions in applying the organizational standards for certain eligible entities due to special circumstances or organizational characteristics (as described in IM 138)?

- ○ Yes
- X No

GUIDANCE: The following question will only need to be answered based on your response to 6.4.
6.4a. Provide the specific eligible entities the state will exempt from meeting organizational standards, and provide a description and a justification for each exemption. Total Number of Exempt Entities: [0]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSBG Eligible Entity</th>
<th>Exemption Provided</th>
<th>Description/Justification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Narrative, 150 characters]</td>
<td>[Select Yes or No]</td>
<td>[If Yes is selected, provide a narrative, 2500 characters]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADD a ROW function Note: Rows will be able to be added for each additional exception.

6.5. Performance Target: Provide the percentage of eligible entities that the state expects to meet all the state-adopted organizational standards for the FFY(s) of this planning period. [Insert a percentage] Year One 95% Year Two ____

Note: Item 6.5. is associated with State Accountability Measures 6Sa and pre-populate the Annual Report, Module 1, Table D.2.

GUIDANCE: Prior to setting the target, states should review IM 138, review previous performance, and collaborate with the eligible entities and state association in identifying targets.
SECTION 7
State Use of Funds

Eligible Entity Allocation (90 Percent Funds) [Section 675C(a) of the CSBG Act]

7.1. Formula: Select the method (formula) that best describes the current practice for allocating CSBG funds to eligible entities. [Check one and narrative where applicable]

- Historic
- Base + Formula
- Formula Alone
- Formula with Variables
- Hold Harmless + Formula
- Other

7.1a. Formula Description: Describe the current practice for allocating CSBG funds to eligible entities. [Narrative, 5000 characters]

The State allocates CSBG funds by the following formula: Using the latest Census data, the number of persons in poverty by county is divided by the state total of persons in poverty to get a percentage for each county. The percentage is multiplied by the “90 percent of the total state allocation” to determine the amount of funds for each county. Each eligible entity receives the amount of funds for the counties it serves.

7.1b. Statute: Does a state statutory or regulatory authority specify the formula for allocating “not less than 90 percent” funds among eligible entities? □ Yes ☑ No

7.2. Planned Allocation: Specify the percentage of your CSBG planned allocation that will be funded to eligible entities and “not less than 90 percent funds” as described under Section 675C(a) of the CSBG Act. In the table, provide the planned allocation for each eligible entity receiving funds for the fiscal year(s) covered by this plan.

| Year One 90% | Year Two _____________________________ % |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned CSBG 90 Percent Funds – Year One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSBG Eligible Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-populates from the CSBG Eligible Entity Master List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please see Tentative Allocation attachment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned CSBG 90 Percent Funds – Year Two</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSBG Eligible Entity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-populates from the CSBG Eligible Entity Master List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: This information pre-populates the state’s Annual Report, Module 1, Table E.2.

See attachment for eligible entity list and allocations

7.3. **Distribution Process**: Describe the specific steps in the state’s process for distributing 90 percent funds to the eligible entities and include the number of days each step is expected to take; include information about state legislative approval or other types of administrative approval (such as approval by a board or commission). [Narrative, 5000 characters]

The Division of Community Services sends NOFAs to the eligible entities, usually the first week of July. The eligible entities submit subgrants to DCS within 60 days after the date of the NOFA. The procurement and program staff review the subgrants for errors/additional information. After the reviews, the subgrants are passed to the Office of Monitoring and Attorney General’s Office for signature approval. The subgrants are then submitted to the Executive Director’s Office (EDO) for final approval. The EDO requires all subgrants be submitted 30 days prior to the effective dates. Immediately after EDO approval, the procurement staff distributes the subgrants to DCS staff and supporting divisions. DCS staff packages and distributes the subgrants to eligible entities. The program year is from January through December. Entities have current year funds until the start of the new program year.

7.3a. **Distribution Method**: Select the option below that best describes the distribution method that the state uses to issue CSBG funds to eligible entities:

- [ ] Reimbursement
- [x] Advance
- [ ] Hybrid
- [ ] Other [Narrative, 4000 characters]

7.4. **Distribution Timeframe**: Does the state plan to make funds available to eligible entities no later than 30 calendar days after OCS distributes the federal award?  [ ] Yes [x] No

7.4a. **Distribution Consistency**: If no, describe state procedures to ensure funds are made available to eligible entities consistently and without interruption. [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

The Division of Community Services sends NOFAs to the eligible entities. The eligible entities submit subgrants to DCS within 60 days after the date of the NOFA. The procurement and program staff review the subgrants for errors/additional information. After the reviews, the subgrants are passed to the Office of Monitoring and Attorney General’s Office for signature approval. The subgrants are then submitted to the Executive Director’s Office (EDO) for final approval. The EDO requires all subgrants be submitted 30 days prior to the effective dates.
Immediately after EDO approval, the procurement staff distributes the subgrants to DCS staff and supporting divisions. DCS staff packages and distributes the subgrants to eligible entities. Subgrantees are now able to request funds to operate their programs. The subgrants have an effective date of January 1-December 31 of each year, so funds from prior year are available.

**Note:** Item 7.4 is associated with State Accountability Measure 2Sa and may pre-populate the state’s annual report form.

7.5. **Performance Management Adjustment:** Describe the state’s strategy for improving grant and/or contract administration procedures under this State Plan as compared to past plans. Any improvements should be based on analysis of past performance, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any improvements, provide further detail. **[Narrative, 5000 Characters]**

**Note:** This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 2Sb and may pre-populate the state’s annual report form.

The State will revise the CSBG Policy Manual for FFY 2021. We will conduct focus groups with the entities to get input, and later train all entities on the new policy.

**Administrative Funds** [Section 675C(b)(2) of the CSBG Act]

7.6. **Allocated Funds:** Specify the percentage of your CSBG planned allocation for administrative activities for the FFY(s) covered by this State Plan.

   **Year One 5%**   **Year Two***%**   **[Numeric response, specify %]**

   **Note:** This information pre-populates the state’s Annual Report, Module 1, Table E.4.

7.7. **State Staff:** Provide the number of state staff positions to be funded in whole or in part with CSBG funds for the FFY(s) covered by this State Plan.

   **Year One 2**   **Year Two***  **[Numeric response, 0.00 – 99.99]**

7.8. **State FTEs:** Provide the number of state Full Time Equivalents (FTEs) to be funded with CSBG funds for the FFY(s) covered by this State Plan?

   **Year One 2**   **Year Two***  **[Numeric response, 0.00 – 99.99]**

**Use of Remainder/Discretionary Funds** [Section 675C(b) of the CSBG Act]

7.9. **Remainder/Discretionary Funds Use:** Does the state have remainder/discretionary funds, as described in Section 675C(b) of the CSBG Act?

**GUIDANCE:** “No” should only be selected if the percentages provided under 7.2. and 7.6. equal to 100%.
☑ Yes ☐ No

If yes, provide the allocated percentage and describe the use of the remainder/discretionary funds in the table below. **Year One 5% Year Two**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remainder/Discretionary Fund Uses (See 675C(b)(1) of the CSBG Act)</th>
<th>Planned $</th>
<th>Brief Description of Services and/or Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.9a. Training/technical assistance to eligible entities</td>
<td>$293,277  (50%)</td>
<td>These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan Item 8.1 [Read Only]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9b. Coordination of State-operated programs and/or local programs</td>
<td>$103,934  (18%)</td>
<td>These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan Section 9, State Linkages and Communication [Read Only]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9c. Statewide coordination and communication among eligible entities</td>
<td>Enter either a planned $ for each item listed for the first FFY that this plan covers. $187,178  (32%)</td>
<td>[Narrative, 5000 characters]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9d. Analysis of distribution of CSBG funds to determine if targeting greatest need</td>
<td>$0  (0%)</td>
<td>Specify the other activities funded through discretionary funds here. [Narrative, 5000 characters]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9e. Asset-building programs</td>
<td></td>
<td>Funds will be used for innovative projects/activities to include senior and youth projects. [Narrative, 5000 characters]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9f. Innovation programs/activities by eligible entities or other neighborhood group</td>
<td></td>
<td>[Narrative, 5000 characters]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9g. State charity tax credits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9h. Other activities [Specify under Column 4]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td>$584,389</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This response will link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.2.

Instructional Note: The assurance under 676(b)(2) of the Act (Item 14.2 of this State Plan) specifically requires a description of how the state intends to use remainder/discretionary funds to “support innovative community and neighborhood-based initiatives related to the purposes of [the CSBG Act].” Include this description in Item 7.9f of the table below and/or attach the information.

If a funded activity fits under more than one category in the table, allocate the funds among the categories. For example, if the state provides funds under a contract with the State Community Action association to provide training and technical assistance to eligible entities and to create a statewide data system, the funds for that contract should be allocated appropriately between Items 7.9a.–7.9c. If allocation is not possible, the state may allocate the funds to the main category with which the activity is associated.

Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 3Sa and pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Table E.7.
## Use of Remainder/Discretionary Funds – Year Two (as applicable)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Remainder/Discretionary Fund Uses (See 675C(b)(1) of the CSBG Act)</th>
<th>Planned $</th>
<th>Brief Description of Services and/or Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.9a. Training/technical assistance to eligible entities</td>
<td></td>
<td>These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan Item 8.1 [Read Only]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9b. Coordination of State-operated programs and/or local programs</td>
<td></td>
<td>These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan section 9, State Linkages and Communication [Read Only]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9c. Statewide coordination and communication among eligible entities</td>
<td></td>
<td>These planned services/activities will be described in State Plan section 9, State Linkages and Communication [Read Only]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9d. Analysis of distribution of CSBG funds to determine if targeting greatest need</td>
<td>[Narrative, 5000 characters]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9e. Asset-building programs</td>
<td></td>
<td>[Narrative, 5000 characters]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9f. Innovation programs/activities by eligible entities or other neighborhood group</td>
<td></td>
<td>[Narrative, 5000 characters]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9g. State charity tax credits</td>
<td></td>
<td>Specify the other activities funded through discretionary funds here. [Narrative, 2500 characters]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.9h. Other activities [Specify under Column 4]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[Narrative, 2500 characters]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Totals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned $</th>
<th>Auto-calculated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**GUIDANCE:** If the percentages provided under 7.2. and 7.6. do not equal 100%, the remaining percentage should be reported under 7.9. If the state does not have any remainder/discretionary fund activities (as listed in 7.9a. – 7.9g.), the remainder should be described in 7.9h.

### 7.10. Remainder/Discretionary Funds Partnerships:

Select the types of organizations, if any, the state plans to work with (by grant or contract using remainder/discretionary funds) to carry out some or all of the activities in Table 7.9. [Check all that apply and narrative where applicable]

- The state directly carries out all activities (No Partnerships)
- The state does not have remainder/discretionary funds
- The state partially carries out some activities
- CSBG eligible entities (if checked, include the expected number of CSBG eligible entities to receive funds) [Numeric response, 0 – 100] - 16
- Other community-based organizations
- State Community Action association
- Regional CSBG technical assistance provider(s)
- National technical assistance provider(s)
- Individual consultant(s)
- Tribes and Tribal Organizations
- Other [Narrative, 2500 characters]
Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance in Item 14.2.

7.11. **Performance Management Adjustment**: Describe any adjustments the state will make to the use of remainder/discretionary funds under this State Plan as compared to past plans? Any adjustment should be based on the state’s analysis of past performance, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any adjustments, provide further detail. [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

Note: This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 3Sb, and may pre-populate the state’s annual report form.

The State will continue to use discretionary funds to conduct board training for entities. The State will secure a consultant to conduct independent reviews of the boards of directors for four entities, annually, to assess what the training needs are.
8.1. **Training and Technical Assistance Plan:** Describe the state’s plan for delivering CSBG-funded training and technical assistance to eligible entities under this State Plan by completing the table below. Add a row for each activity: indicate the timeframe; whether it is training, technical assistance, or both; and the topic. (CSBG funding used for this activity is referenced under item 7.9a., Use of Remainder/Discretionary Funds.)

**Note:** This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 3Sc and pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Table F.1.

**GUIDANCE:** Table 8.1. should only include the trainings that are being paid for using state discretionary training and technical assistance funds as noted under 7.9a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planned Timeframe</th>
<th>Training, Technical Assistance, or Both</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Brief Description of “Other”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing/Multiple Quarters</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Organizational Standards - General</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing/Multiple Quarters</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Reporting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing/Multiple Quarters</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Community Assessment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing/Multiple Quarters</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Governance/Tripartite Boards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing/Multiple Quarters</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.1 a. Training and Technical Assistance Budget: The planned budget for the training and technical assistance plan (as indicated in the Remainder/Discretionary Funds table in item 7.9):

Year One $293,277 Year Two ____

[Prepopulated with the budget allocation for years one and two under 7.9a]

8.1b. Training and Technical Assistance Collaboration: Describe how the state will collaborate with the State Association and other stakeholders in the planning and delivery of training and technical assistance. [Narrative, 2500 characters]

The State will partner with the State Association to conduct annual training conference for eligible entities. Regional T&TA providers, OCS, NASCSP and individual consultants will be invited to provide training. The State Association will assist with T&TA for eligible entities and provide training for the certified ROMA trainers and implementers in the state.

8.2. Organizational Standards Technical Assistance: Does the state have Technical Assistance Plans (TAPs) in place for eligible entities with unmet organizational standards, if appropriate? [Select one] ☒ Yes ○ No

Note: 8.2 is associated with State Accountability Measure 6Sb. The state should
put a TAP in place to support eligible entities with one or more unmet organizational standards.

8.2a. **Address Unmet Organizational Standards**: Describe the state’s plan to provide T/TA to eligible entities to ensure they address unmet Organizational Standards. [Narrative, 2500 characters]

T&TA will be provided by the State and the State Association to assist entities in correcting deficiencies before a TAP is issued. The State will develop a TAP for entities experiencing challenges in meeting the organizational standards, and require a response within 30 days of the date of the TAP.

8.3. **Training and Technical Assistance Organizations**: Indicate the types of organizations through which the state plans to provide training and/or technical assistance as described in Item 8.1, and briefly describe their involvement. (Check all that apply.) [Check all that applies and narrative where applicable]

- X CSBG eligible entities (if checked, provide the expected number of CSBG eligible entities to receive funds) [Numeric response, 0 – 100] 6
- □ Other community-based organizations
- X State Community Action Association
- □ Regional CSBG technical assistance provider(s)
- X National technical assistance provider(s)
- X Individual consultant(s)
- □ Tribes and Tribal Organizations
- □ Other [Narrative, 1000 characters]

8.4. **CSBG-Funded T/TA Performance Management Adjustment**: Describe adjustments the state made to the training and technical assistance plan under this State Plan as compared to past plans. Any adjustment should be based on the state’s analysis of past performance, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any adjustments, provide further detail. [Narrative, 2500 Characters]

**Note**: This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 3Sd and may pre-populate the state’s annual report form.

Based on the state’s performance with the Organizational Standards, the technical assistance plan will focus on providing additional training to entities meeting between 70 and 89 percent of the standards. The State will continue to work with the state association to conduct the reviews of the standards.
SECTION 9
State Linkages and Communication

Note: This section describes activities that the state may support with CSBG remainder/discretionary funds, described under Section 675C(b)(1) of the CSBG Act. The state may indicate planned use of remainder/discretionary funds for linkage/communication activities in Section 7, State Use of Funds, items 7.9(b) and (c).

9.1. State Linkages and Coordination at the State Level: Describe the linkages and coordination at the state level that the state intends to create or maintain to ensure increased access to CSBG services to low-income people and communities under this State Plan and avoid duplication of services (as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(5)). Describe additional information as needed.

[Check all that apply from the list below and provide a Narrative, 5000 Characters]

Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, Item 14.5. In addition, this information is associated with State Accountability Measure 7Sa and pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.1.

X State Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) office
X State Weatherization office
X State Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) office
   State Head Start office
□ State public health office
□ State education department
X State Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) agency
X State budget office
X Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP)
   State child welfare office
□ State housing office
X Other The State has been working for several years towards a multi-generational approach to address the barriers associated with poverty based on a focus of working with the whole family. In collaboration with the Food and Nutrition Services and the U.S. Department of Labor, the Gen+ program has been implemented for the purpose of increasing the number of families becoming self-sufficient. The State has established working relationships with Dept. of Medicaid, Rehabilitation Services, Workforce Development, and internal department programs such as SNAP, Aging Programs, Youth Services, Child Support, TANF, etc. to address needs of the family. IRS, Energy Vendors, United Way, Salvation Army are other partnerships that the State maintains.

9.2. State Linkages and Coordination at the Local Level: Describe the linkages and coordination at the local level that the state intends to create or maintain with governmental and other social services, especially antipoverty programs, to assure the effective delivery of and coordination of CSBG services to low-income people
and communities and avoid duplication of services (as required by assurances under Section 676(b)(5) – (6)). [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurances, Items 14.5 and 14.6, and pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.2.

Through the MDHS Gen+ project, low-income families are assessed and referred to other DHS programs and local programs. Entities are required to develop partnerships and pool resources with social service providers, local organizations, religious organizations to increase support and opportunities for low-income families and communities, and to avoid duplication of services. In order to fully address the needs of families and to evaluate the outcome of their work, entities must follow up with families to ensure services were provided by the organizations they were referred to.

9.3. Eligible Entity Linkages and Coordination

9.3a. State Assurance of Eligible Entity Linkages and Coordination: Describe how the state will assure that eligible entities will coordinate and establish linkages to assure the effective delivery of and coordination of CSBG services to low-income people and communities and avoid duplication of services (as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(5)). [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, Item 14.5. and pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.3a.

The State requires eligible entities to submit documentation on their partnerships with other service providers and organizations as part of their community action plan in their subgrants for funding. Eligible entities link families to resources within the entity and within the community. Outcomes from these linkages are reported as part of their NPIs.

9.3b. State Assurance of Eligible Entity Linkages to Fill Service Gaps: Describe how the eligible entities will develop linkages to fill identified gaps in the services, through the provision of information, referrals, case management, and follow-up consultations, according to the assurance under Section 676(b)(3)(B) of the CSBG Act. [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

Note: This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, Item 14.3b. and pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.3b.

Eligible entities are required as part of their community action plan to develop partnerships with local and state social service providers, religious organizations, local governments to fill gaps in the services the entity cannot provide. Referrals are made and follow up is done to ensure services were delivered. The State assures this requirement by requiring entities to submit a list of the local and other partnerships to fill gaps in
their service delivery, as part of their annual subgrant for funding.

9.4. **Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) Employment and Training Activities:** Does the state intend to include CSBG employment and training activities as part of a WIOA Combined State Plan, as allowed under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(5) of the CSBG Act)?

   __ Yes  X  No

**Note:** This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, Item 14.5.

9.4a. **WIOA Combined Plan:** If the state selected yes under Item 9.4, provide the CSBG-specific information included in the state’s WIOA Combined Plan. This information includes a description of how the state and the eligible entities will coordinate the provision of employment and training activities through statewide and local WIOA workforce development systems. This information may also include examples of innovative employment and training programs and activities conducted by community action agencies or other neighborhood-based organizations as part of a community antipoverty strategy. [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

9.4b. **Employment and Training Activities:** If the state selected no under Item 9.4, describe the coordination of employment and training activities, as defined in Section 3 of WIOA, by the state and by eligible entities providing activities through the WIOA system. [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

   MDHS has a Division of Workforce Development which participates in the statewide WIOA employment and training activities. Eligible entities coordinate their CSBG employment and training activities with the local WIOA.

9.5. **Emergency Energy Crisis Intervention:** Describe how the State will assure, where appropriate, that emergency energy crisis intervention programs under title XXVI (relating to Low-Income Home Energy Assistance) are conducted in each community in the State, as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(6) of the CSBG Act). [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

   **Note:** This response will link to the corresponding CSBG assurance, Item 14.6.

The Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) is administered by eligible entities. They are required as part of the community action plan to coordinate LIHEAP services as part of a case plan for low-income families. Entities are required to work with local energy providers to resolve crisis situations, provide energy saving materials, and conduct energy conservation workshops. The State allocates a percentage of discretionary funds for federal or state-declared disasters to provide emergency services.
9.6. **Faith-based Organizations, Charitable Groups, Community Organizations:**
Describe how the state will assure local eligible entities will coordinate and form partnerships with other organizations, including faith-based organizations, charitable groups, and community organizations, according to the state’s assurance under Section 676(b)(9) of the CSBG Act. **[Narrative, 5000 characters]**

**Note:** this response will link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.9

The State requires eligible entities to submit documentation on their partnerships with other service providers and organizations, including faith-based, charitable and community organizations as part of their community action plan in their subgrants for funding. The documentation addresses referrals to and from each partner, and the services to be provided by the entity and the partner. Many of these organizations are represented on the eligible entity’s board of directors.

9.7. **Coordination of Eligible Entity 90 Percent Funds with Public/Private Resources:**
Describe how the eligible entities will coordinate CSBG 90 percent funds with other public and private resources, according to the assurance under Section 676(b)(3)(C) of the CSBG Act. **[Narrative, 5000 Characters]**

**Note:** This response will link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.3c.

Eligible entities use their CSBG funds to leverage additional public and private resources, which assist with achieving outcomes within the framework of the national goals. CSBG resources are used to support the infrastructure of the entities to include sound governance, fiscal, programmatic, and personnel management. This results in greater accountability and capacity, thus enabling entities to leverage public and private resources through their community outreach and partnerships. As reported in the 2019 Leveraging Report, eligible entities leveraged $1,476,150.86.

9.8. **Coordination among Eligible Entities and State Community Action Association:**
Describe state activities for supporting coordination among the eligible entities and the State Community Action Association. **[Narrative, 5000 Characters]**

**Note:** This information will pre-populate the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.5.

In FFY 2022, a percentage of CSBG discretionary funds will be contracted to the State Association to support training and technical assistance needs of the eligible entities, quality improvement, and other state-wide initiatives. The State meets with the State Association on a regular basis to discuss program requirements and updates. The State Association convenes an annual conference in which the State partners and provide training based on the topics outlined in the CSBG T&TA Plan.

9.9. **Communication with Eligible Entities and the State Community Action Association:** In the table below, detail how the state intends to communicate with eligible entities, the State Community Action Association, and other partners identified under this State Plan on the topics listed below.

For any topic that is not applicable, select *Not Applicable* under Expected Frequency.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Matter</th>
<th>Expected Frequency</th>
<th>Format</th>
<th>Brief Description of “Other”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Plan Development</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Standards Progress</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Accountability Measures Progress</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Needs Assessments/Community Action Plans</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Letters/Hard copies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Monitoring Plans and Policies</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Letters/Hard copies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and Technical Assistance (T/TA) Plans</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROMA and Performance Management</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Interagency Coordination</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSBG Legislative/Programmatic Updates</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tripartite Board Requirements</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSBG National Updates</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Meetings/Presentations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**9.10. Feedback to Eligible Entities and State Community Action Association:** Describe how the state will provide information to local entities and State Community Action Associations regarding performance on State Accountability Measures. 

**Narrative, 5000 Characters**

**Note:** This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 5S(iii) and will pre-populate the Annual Report, Module 1, Item G.6.

**GUIDANCE:** Under this question, include how the state will provide information to local entities and state associations within 60 days of receiving feedback from OCS.

Communication by letter or memo will be sent to eligible entities and the State Association regarding performance on the State Accountability Measures. Entities will be asked to respond within 30 days. Emails, phone calls, and one-on-one consultations are conducted as needed.
9.11. **Performance Management Adjustment:** Describe any adjustments the state made to the Communication Plan in this State Plan as compared to past plans. Any adjustment should be based on the state’s analysis of past performance, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any adjustments, provide further detail.

[Narrative, 5000 Characters]

**Note:** This information is associated with State Accountability Measures 7Sb; this response may pre-populate the state’s annual report form.

The State will conduct focus groups with the entities to address issues, policy, and training needs of the entities. A report will be sent to all entities for comment before final adjustments are made. The State is currently upgrading the Virtual ROMA system, due to be completed in 2021, which will allow for more electronic communication to and from the State. Smartsheet and Virtual ROMA (current system) are used to provide information and communication to the entities, and they can provide feedback as well.
**SECTION 10**
**Monitoring, Corrective Action, and Fiscal Controls**

**Monitoring of Eligible Entities (Section 678B(a) of the CSBG Act)**

10.1. Specify the proposed schedule for planned monitoring visits including: full on-site reviews; on-site reviews of newly designated entities; follow-up reviews – including return visits to entities that failed to meet state goals, standards, and requirements; and other reviews as appropriate.

This is an estimated schedule to assist states in planning. States may indicate “no review” for entities the state does not plan to monitor in the performance period.

**Note:** This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 4Sa(ii); this response pre-populates the Annual Report, Module 1, Table H.1.

**GUIDANCE:** Monitoring that is specific to organizational standards should be referenced within Section 6, Item 6.3a.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSBG Eligible Entity</th>
<th>Monitoring Type</th>
<th>Review Type</th>
<th>Target Quarter</th>
<th>Start Date of Last Full Onsite Review</th>
<th>End Date of Last Full Onsite Review</th>
<th>Brief Description of “Other”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Narrative, 150       | [Dropdown Options:  
• Full On-Site  
• Newly Designated  
• Follow-up  
• Other  
• No Review]  
[Dropdown Options:  
• Onsite Review  
• Desk Review]  
[Dropdown Options:  
• FY1 Q1  
• FY1 Q2  
• FY1 Q3  
• FY1 Q4] | See attachment for most recent review and statement below | See attachment for most recent review and statement below | See attachment for most recent review and statement below | If “Other” is selected in Column 2, describe in this column [Narrative, 500 characters] |

*Monitoring has cancelled on-site visits for this year due to COVID-19 and started conducting desk reviews for this program year.*
### Monitoring Schedule – Year Two

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSBG Eligible Entity</th>
<th>Monitoring Type</th>
<th>Review Type</th>
<th>Target Quarter</th>
<th>Start Date of Last Full Onsite Review</th>
<th>End Date of Last Full Onsite Review</th>
<th>Brief Description of “Other”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This column will auto-populate from the CSBG Eligible Entity Master List</td>
<td>[Dropdown Options:  • Full On-Site  • Newly Designated  • Follow-up  • Other  • No Review]</td>
<td>[Dropdown Options:  • Onsite Review  • Desk Review]</td>
<td>[Dropdown Options:  • FY2 Q1  • FY2 Q2  • FY2 Q3  • FY2 Q4]</td>
<td>Select a Date</td>
<td>Select a Date</td>
<td>If “Other” is selected in Column 2, describe in this column [Narrative, 500 characters]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GUIDANCE:** Comprehensive training is one that includes a review of program, administrative, fiscal and organizational standards.

If you are monitoring an entity as a follow up to an issue with another program this can be listed under “Other.”

When providing the date of your last full onsite review – this could be for any type of review that took place onsite. No dates for desk reviews should be provided here.

#### 10.2. Monitoring Policies:
Provide a copy of state monitoring policies and procedures by attaching and/or providing a hyperlink. [Attach a document or add a link]

See Attachments – Program, Fiscal Monitoring and Audit Attachment; and Compliance Monitoring Attachment

#### 10.3. Initial Monitoring Reports:
According to the state’s procedures, by how many calendar days must the state disseminate initial monitoring reports to local entities? 30 days

**Note:** This item is associated with State Accountability Measure 4Sa(ii) and may pre-populate the state’s annual report form.

**Corrective Action, Termination and Reduction of Funding and Assurance Requirements (Section 678C of the Act)**

#### 10.4. Closing Findings:
Are state procedures for addressing eligible entity findings/deficiencies, and the documenting closure of findings included in the state monitoring protocols attached above? ☒ Yes ☐ No

**10.4a. Closing Findings Procedures:** If no, describe state procedures for addressing eligible entity findings/deficiencies, and the documenting closure of findings. [Narrative, 2500 characters]

#### 10.5. Quality Improvement Plans (QIPs):
Provide the number of eligible entities currently on QIPs, if applicable. 0
Note: The QIP information is associated with State Accountability Measures 4Sc.

10.6. **Reporting of QIPs:** Describe the state’s process for reporting eligible entities on QIPs to the Office of Community Services within 30 calendar days of the state approving a QIP?

The State will notify the Office of Community Services of serious deficiencies of an eligible entity. A report will be given to OCS monthly as to the actions and technical assistance provided by the State. If a QIP is requested from an eligible entity, OCS will be notified in writing of the QIP, a copy of the QIP, the due date, and the State’s approval or denial of the QIP within 30 days.

**Note:** This item is associated with State Accountability Measure 4Sa(iii)).

10.7. **Assurance on Funding Reduction or Termination:** The state assure that “any eligible entity that received CSBG funding the previous fiscal year will not have its funding terminated or reduced below the proportional share of funding the entity received in the previous fiscal year unless, after providing notice and an opportunity for a hearing on the record, the state determines that cause exists for such termination or such reduction, subject to review by the Secretary as provided in Section 678C(b)” per Section 676(b)(8) of the CSBG Act.

- [ ] Yes
- [ ] No

**Note:** This response will link with the corresponding assurance under item 14.8.

**Policies on Eligible Entity Designation, De-designation, and Re-designation**

10.8. **Eligible Entity Designation:** Do the state CSBG statute and/or regulations provide for the designation of new eligible entities?

- [ ] Yes
- [x] No

10.8a. **New Designation Citation:** If yes, provide the citation(s) of the law and/or regulation.

[Narrative, 2500 Characters]

10.8 b. **New Designation Procedures:** If no, describe state procedures for the designation of new eligible entities and how the procedures were made available to eligible entities and the public.

The State will conduct a public hearing, after giving public notice of its intention to designate an agency. The public hearing shall include an evaluation of the administrative and programmatic capabilities of the agency or agencies under consideration for designation as the community action agency for the area. The State will submit its recommendation to the Governor’s Office for designation. Special consideration will be given to any community action agency currently receiving CSBG funds and is contiguously located to the un-served service area. If no such agency exists, or if a geographic area of the state is not being served by an eligible entity, the Governor of the State of Mississippi may solicit applications from and designate as a community action agency: 1. A private non-profit
organization that is geographically located in the un-served area, that is capable of providing a broad range of services to eliminate poverty and promote self-sufficiency, and that meets the requirements of the CSBG Act; 2. A private non-profit eligible entity that is geographically located contiguous to or within close proximity of the un-served area; 3. A private organization must comply with the tripartite board requirements, and submit to the State, a copy of its board of directors. 4. The board(s) of supervisors for the un-served area must approve a resolution to designate the organization as the community action agency for the county.

10.9. Eligible Entity Termination: Do state CSBG statute and/or regulations provide for termination of eligible entities? ○ Yes ☒ No

10.9 a. Termination Citation: If yes, provide the citation(s) of the law and/or regulation.

[Narrative, 2500 characters]

10.9 b. Termination Procedures: If no, describe state procedures for termination of new eligible entities and how the procedures were made available to eligible entities and the public.

In accordance with the CSBG Act, States must assure that any eligible entity that received funding in the previous year through CSBG, will not have its funding terminated or reduced below the proportional share the entity received in the previous fiscal year, unless, after providing notice and an opportunity for a hearing on the record, the State determines that cause exists for such termination such reduction. Under Section 676C of the CSBG Act, "cause" is defined as: 1. A statewide re-distribution of funds due to: a. Results of the most recently available census data or other appropriate data; b. The designation of a new eligible entity; c. Severe economic dislocation. 2. Failure of the entity to fulfill its obligations under the CSBG subgrant agreement; 3. Ineffective or improper use of funds provided under the CSBG subgrant agreement; 4. Failure to provide assurance that the entity board will comply with the tripartite board requirements; 5. Voluntary relinquishment of the CSBG grant. If the State determines the entity has a specific deficiency, the State must notify the entity in writing of the deficiency, and require the entity to correct the deficiency. The State must offer technical assistance, if appropriate, to the entity to correct the deficiency. The State will notify the Office of Community Services through a written report of the entity's deficiencies and the technical assistance provided by the State. If the State determines that technical assistance is not appropriate, OCS must still be notified. Examples of situations where technical assistance is not appropriate include but are not limited to: 1. A deficiency for which the State has previously provided technical assistance and the entity has failed to correct deficiency; 2. Multiple, widespread, and/or repeated deficiencies that cannot be addressed through technical
assistance; 3. A deficiency that involves fraudulent reporting or use of funds, or other criminal activity. Section 678C(a)(4) of the CSBG Act gives states the discretion in the implementation of a Quality Improvement Plan (QIP) by an eligible entity to address deficiencies. If the State determines an entity should be allowed to submit a QIP, the entity has 60 days to develop and implement its plan to correct deficiency. The entity must, however, submit the QIP to the State within 30 days of being notified of the deficiency. The State will review the QIP and issue a decision on whether to approve the QIP within 30 days of its receipt. If the State does not accept the QIP, the State must notify the entity of the reasons why the QIP cannot be approved. The State may issue a letter of intent to terminate or reduce funding. The entity may submit a request for a hearing within 30 calendar days of the State's letter to terminate or reduce funding. Upon receipt of the entity's request for a hearing, the State must schedule an Administrative Hearing within 30 business days. If the State finds cause for termination or the reduction of funding, the State may begin process. The State must notify the entity and OCS in writing. The entity may request in writing, a federal review by the Secretary of DHHS of the State's decision to terminate or reduce funding, within 30 calendar days of the State's notification. If a request for a federal review has been made, the State may not terminate or reduce funding until DHHS responds to the request. DHHS has 90 days to complete its review. If no request for a federal review is made within the 30 day timeframe, the decision of the State is final. If the federal review is not completed within its 90 days, the decision of the State is final. If an eligible entity is terminated or relinquishes its grant, the State must provide in writing a closeout process to assist the entity in closing out the grant. The State will provide monthly reports to OCS during the entire process.

10.10. Eligible Entity Re-Designation: Do the state CSBG statute and/or regulations provide for re-designation of an existing eligible entity?

   ○ Yes ☒ No

10.10 a. Re-Designation Citation: If yes, provide the citation(s) of the law and/or regulation. [Narrative, 2500 Characters]

10.10b. Re-Designation Procedures: If no, describe state procedures for re-designation of existing eligible entities and how the procedures were made available to eligible entities and the public.

   The State will conduct a public hearing, after giving public notice of its intention to designate an agency. The public hearing shall include an evaluation of the administrative and programmatic capabilities of the agency or agencies under consideration for designation as the community action agency for the area. The State will submit its recommendation to the Governor's Office for designation. Special consideration will be given to any community action agency currently receiving CSBG funds and is contiguously located to the un-served service area. If no such agency
exists, or if a geographic area of the state is not being served by an eligible entity, the Governor of the State of Mississippi may solicit applications from and designate as a community action agency: 1. A private non-profit organization that is geographically located in the un-served area, that is capable of providing a broad range of services to eliminate poverty and promote self-sufficiency, and that meets the requirements of the CSBG Act; 2. A private non-profit eligible entity that is geographically located contiguous to or within close proximity of the un-served area; 3. A private organization must comply with the tripartite board requirements, and submit to the State, a copy of its board of directors. 4. The board(s) of supervisors for the un-served area must approve a resolution to designate the organization as the community action agency for the county.

**GUIDANCE:** Re-designation implies that an entity that is already designated/receiving funds is now performing the duties and receiving funds that were previously designated to another entity, in addition to the funding that they are already receiving. This is different from a merger as an entity is not absorbing another entity. This re-designation may be permanent (requires a formula redistribution) or temporary while the state has officially designated a new entity and has completed a formula redistribution. See CSBG Act 676A, Designation and Redesignation..., for more information.

**Fiscal Controls and Audits and Cooperation Assurance**

**10.11. Fiscal Controls and Accounting:** Describe how the state’s fiscal controls and accounting procedures will a) permit preparation of the SF-425 Federal fiscal reports (FFR) and b) permit the tracing of expenditures adequate to ensure funds have been used appropriately under the block grant, as required by Block Grant regulations applicable to CSBG at 45 CFR 96.30(a).

The State requires eligible entities requesting CSBG funds to submit Monthly Cost Worksheets in Smartsheet application. These worksheets show expenditures such as Case Management, Supportive Services, and Administration (by cost category and line items). Entities are required to submit Claim Support Forms to request funds based on Current Needs. These forms are processed in the MDHS-Division of Procurement Services. The SF-425 Federal Financial Reports are processed in this Division of Budgets & Accounting. Documentation from the Virtual ROMA system is required to support program cost shown on the Cost Worksheets and Claim Forms as a means to adhere to mandates by the Division Office of Monitoring to monitor costs reported for the month. Procedural manuals are in place which covers financial and accounting rules and regulations which entities must comply with. To further account for CSBG funds, 15 of the 16 entities use the same accounting software, GMS, which has a tracking component in Virtual ROMA.
Entities are required to have and submit an annual audit performed by an independent Certified Public Accountant. The State complies with the Single Audit Act Requirement.

Entities subgrants are monitored annually by the Division of Monitoring. Periodic visits may be conducted by the Division of Community Services.

10.12. **Single Audit Management Decisions:** Describe state procedures for issuing management decisions for eligible entity single audits, as required by Block Grant regulations applicable to CSBG at 45 CFR 75.521.

**Note:** This information is associated with State Accountability Measure 4Sd.

The Director of Monitoring and the Supervisor of Single Audit Findings review audit findings, and forward them to the Division of Community Services and the Monitoring Supervisor. Audit findings are placed on a spreadsheet and addressed during monitoring visits with the entity and addressed on the monitoring report.

10.13. **Assurance on Federal Investigations:** The state will “permit and cooperate with Federal investigations undertaken in accordance with Section 678D” of the CSBG Act, as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(7) of the CSBG Act.

☑ Yes ☐ No

**Note:** This response will link with the corresponding assurance, Item 14.7

10.13a. **Federal Investigations Policies:** Are state procedures for permitting and cooperating with federal investigations included in the state monitoring policies attached under 10.2?

☐ Yes ☒ No

10.14. **Performance Management Adjustment:** Describe any adjustments the state made to monitoring procedures in this State Plan as compared to past plans? Any adjustment should be based on the state’s analysis of past performance, and should consider feedback from eligible entities, OCS, and other sources, such as the public hearing. If the state is not making any adjustments, provide further detail.

**Note:** This item is associated with State Accountability Measure 4Sb and may pre-populate the state’s annual report form.

The Division of Community Services will conduct desk reviews of entities and T&TA visits (up to 5 entities annually) to identify potential problems before entities are monitored by the Division of Monitoring. If the entity has findings, the Division of Community Services will assist with resolution of the finding, and possibly use this in state training for all entities.
SECTION 11
Eligible Entity Tripartite Board

11.1. **Tripartite Board Verification:** Verify which of the following measures are taken to ensure that the state verifies CSBG Eligible Entities are meeting Tripartite Board requirements under Section 676B of the CSBG Act. [Check all that applies and narrative where applicable]

- [x] Attend Board meetings
- [x] Organizational Standards Assessment
- [ ] Monitoring
- [x] Review copies of Board meeting minutes
- [x] Track Board vacancies/composition
- [x] Other [Narrative, 2500 characters] Provide Board training

11.2. **Tripartite Board Updates:** Provide how often the state requires eligible entities (which are not on TAPs or QIPs) to provide updates regarding their Tripartite Boards. This includes but is not limited to copies of meeting minutes, vacancy alerts, changes to bylaws, low-income member selection process, etc. [Select one and narrative where applicable]

- [ ] Annually
- [ ] Semiannually
- [ ] Quarterly
- [x] Monthly
- [ ] As It Occurs
- [ ] Other [Narrative, 2500 characters]

11.3. **Tripartite Board Representation Assurance:** Describe how the states will verify that eligible entities have policies and procedures by which individuals or organizations can petition for adequate representation on an eligible entity’s Tripartite Board as required by the assurance under Section 676(b)(10) of the CSBG Act. [Narrative, 2500 Characters]

**Note:** This response will link with the corresponding assurance, Item 14.10.

The State has a Board Policy in place to ensure this requirement. The Board Policy is given to all entities and the State conducts reviews of eligible entity bylaws to ensure bylaws have policies for tripartite board representation.

11.4. **Tripartite Board Alternative Representation:** Does the state permit public eligible entities to use, as an alternative to a Tripartite Board, “another mechanism specified by the state to assure decision-making and participation by low-income individuals in the development, planning, implementation, and evaluation of programs” as allowed under Section 676B(b)(2) of the CSBG Act?  

- [ ] Yes [x] No
11.4a. If yes, describe the mechanism used by public eligible entities as an alternative to a Tripartite Board. [Narrative, 2500 Characters]
Section 12
Individual and Community Income Eligibility Requirements

12.1. **Required Income Eligibility:** Provide the income eligibility threshold for services in the state. [Select one item below and numeric response where applicable.]

- X 125% of the HHS poverty line
- □ X % of the HHS poverty line (fill in the threshold): _____ % [Numeric response]
- □ Varies by eligible entity [Narrative, 5000 characters]

**GUIDANCE:** Under *Varies by eligible entity*, provide the threshold and the reason that it varies by entity.

12.1a. Describe any state policy and/or procedures for income eligibility, such as treatment of income and family/household composition. [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

Individuals requesting assistance must complete an application to determine eligibility. The application process involves a case management approach. This approach is an interaction between the client and a caseworker. The caseworker obtains vital information about the social and economic conditions of the household to identify needs. It also helps to identify households that are at risk or in crisis, so that a service plan can be developed to assist household to become stable and self-sufficient. Elderly and disabled individuals are not required to participate in case management. Applications are entered into the Virtual ROMA system which determines income eligibility.

12.2. **Income Eligibility for General/Short Term Services:** Describe how the state ensures eligible entities generally verify income eligibility for those services with limited in-take procedures (where individual income verification is not possible or practical). An example of these services is emergency food assistance. [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

Eligible entities provide referral and outreach to communities that are known to be low-income. Low-income families are notified so that documentation of their eligibility is in the Virtual ROMA system.

12.3. **Community-targeted Services:** Describe how the state ensures eligible entities’ services target and benefit low-income communities for those services that provide a community-wide benefit (e.g., development of community assets/facilities, building partnerships with other organizations). [Narrative, 5000 Characters]

Eligible entities ask for participation and partnership from other service providers and organizations that provide services to low-income communities. Low-income families are invited to participate in these meetings and events.
SECTION 13
Results Oriented Management and Accountability (ROMA) System

13.1. Performance Measurement System: Identify the performance measurement system that the state and all eligible entities use, as required by Section 678E(a) of the CSBG Act and the assurance under Section 676(b)(12) of the CSBG Act. [Select one]

Note: This response will also link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.12 and will pre-populate the Annual Report, Module 1, Item I.1.

X The Results Oriented Management and Accountability (ROMA) System
○ Another performance management system that meets the requirements of Section 678E(b) of the CSBG Act
○ An alternative system for measuring performance and results

13.1a. ROMA Description: If ROMA was chosen in Item 13.1, describe the state’s written policies, procedures, or guidance documents on ROMA. [Narrative, 5000 characters]

The State requires entities as part of their annual subgrant for funding to submit logic models and NPIs which follow the ROMA process. Guidance is provided in the NOFA package sent to entities.

13.1b. Alternative System Description: If an alternative system was chosen in Item 13.1, describe the system the state will use for performance measurement. [Narrative, 5000 characters]

13.2. Outcome Measures: Indicate and describe the outcome measures the state will use to measure eligible entity performance in promoting self-sufficiency, family stability, and community revitalization, as required under Section 676(b)(12) of the CSBG Act. [Select one and Narrative, 5000 characters]

Note: This response will also link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.12.

X CSBG National Performance Indicators (NPIs)
○ NPIs and others
○ Others

The State requires eligible entities to address the NPIs in their community action plans. Entity performance in meeting goals stated in their plans will be evaluated monthly by the State.

13.3. Eligible Entity Support: Describe how the state supports the eligible entities in using ROMA or an alternative performance management system. [Narrative, 5000 characters]

Note: The activities described under Item 13.3 may include activities listed in “Section 8: Training and Technical Assistance.” If so, mention briefly, and/or cross-reference as needed. This response will also link to the corresponding assurance,
Item 14.12.

Eligible entities utilize ROMA as a framework for implementing case management and agency accountability. Virtual ROMA is the statewide data system used to capture data. The State provides T&TA on Virtual ROMA and ROMA goals. The State and the Association is working with entities to increase the number of certified ROMA trainers and implementers. Currently the state has seven (7) certified trainers, eleven (11) certified implementers, and ten (10) currently in Phase 3 of their designation.

13.4. **Eligible Entity Use of Data:** Describe how the state plans to validate that the eligible entities are using data to improve service delivery. [Narrative, 5000 characters]

**Note:** This response will also link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.12.

As part of the Notice of Funding Availability, the State requires eligible entities to conduct a Community Strengths and Needs Assessment. The data collected is used in their community action plans to specify what services the entity will provide to the low-income families for the program year. Entities can pull reports from the Virtual ROMA system on services provided and use this data to plan services for the next program year.

**Community Action Plans and Needs Assessments**

13.5. **Community Action Plan:** Describe how the state will secure a Community Action Plan from each eligible entity, as a condition of receipt of CSBG funding by each entity, as required by Section 676(b)(11) of the CSBG Act. [Narrative, 5000 characters]

**Note:** This response will link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.11.

In accordance with the assurance in 676(b)(11) of the CSBG Act, the State will secure from each eligible entity, as part of its annual application and as a condition of a financial award, a community action plan which provides a description of services to be provided. The community action plan includes information from the Community Strengths and Needs Assessment (CSNA) for the communities served. The CSNA provides information from several aspects of the communities to include public, private and low-income sectors, partners, and other service providers. It provides for the ranking of services stated in the community action plan, and assists with the allocation of funds for different services.

13.6. **Community Needs Assessment:** Describe how the state will assure that each eligible entity includes a community needs assessment for the community served (which may be coordinated with community needs assessments conducted by other programs) in each entity’s Community Action Plan, as required by Section 676(b)(11) of the CSBG Act. [Narrative, 5000 characters]

**Note:** This response will link to the corresponding assurance, Item 14.11.
The State requires eligible entities to conduct a CSNA annually as part of their community action plan. The community action plan must include:

a. A description of the CSNA to determine the services to be provided based on the needs of the community.

b. A description of outcome measures to be used to monitor success in promoting self-sufficiency, family stability, and community revitalization.

c. Through the use of logic models, the entity must state goals and objectives in measurable terms and projected in a timetable in which the goals and objectives will be accomplished.

d. A description of how the entity will provide services during a disaster, on weekends, after hours, and during emergencies.

e. An updated board of directors roster.
14.1. Use of Funds Supporting Local Activities

CSBG Services

14.1 a. 676(b)(1)(A)  Describe how the state will assure “that funds made available through grant or allotment will be used –

(A) to support activities that are designed to assist low-income families and individuals, including families and individuals receiving assistance under title IV of the Social Security Act, homeless families and individuals, migrant or seasonal farmworkers, and elderly low-income individuals and families, and a description of how such activities will enable the families and individuals --

(i) to remove obstacles and solve problems that block the achievement of self-sufficiency (particularly for families and individuals who are attempting to transition off a State program carried out under part A of title IV of the Social Security Act);

(ii) to secure and retain meaningful employment;

(iii) to attain an adequate education with particular attention toward improving literacy skills of the low-income families in the community, which may include family literacy initiatives;

(iv) to make better use of available income;

(v) to obtain and maintain adequate housing and a suitable living environment;

(vi) to obtain emergency assistance through loans, grants, or other means to meet immediate and urgent individual and family needs;

(vii) to achieve greater participation in the affairs of the communities involved, including the development of public and private grassroots partnerships with local law enforcement agencies, local housing authorities, private foundations, and other public and private partners to –

(I) document best practices based on successful grassroots intervention in urban areas, to develop methodologies for widespread replication; and

(II) strengthen and improve relationships with local law enforcement agencies, which may include participation in
activities such as neighborhood or community policing efforts;

MDHS-DCS ensures compliance with this assurance by making funds available to the sixteen (16) eligible entities. These entities use funds to support activities such as disaster assistance, job training, educational support, career development, volunteer efforts, nutritional support, health education and access, tax preparation assistance, mentoring, parenting development, child care services, and other activities as needed for low-income families and individuals. A review of eligible entities program performance ensures these activities are accomplished and/or referred to other local and state providers. Program areas offered by entities include: Early childhood programs, economic development/education, emergency services, housing, income management, nutrition and health programs, independent living/aging programs, transportation, community improvement/quality of life programs, youth programs, and employment programs.

**Needs of Youth**

**14.1b. 676(b)(1)(B)** Describe how the state will assure “that funds made available through grant or allotment will be used –

(B) to address the needs of youth in low-income communities through youth development programs that support the primary role of the family, give priority to the prevention of youth problems and crime, and promote increased community coordination and collaboration in meeting the needs of youth, and support development and expansion of innovative community-based youth development programs that have demonstrated success in preventing or reducing youth crime, such as--

(i) programs for the establishment of violence-free zones that would involve youth development and intervention models (such as models involving youth mediation, youth mentoring, life skills training, job creation, and entrepreneurship programs); and

(ii) after-school child care programs;

MDHS-DCS ensures compliance with this assurance by making funds available to eligible entities to conduct after school tutorial programs, summer programs, year round educational programs and activities, and summer reading programs for youth. These programs will promote educational excellence and youth development which prevents youth problems and crime. Support will be given to eligible families of the participants to ensure family needs are met through the case management process, and referrals made to other local providers.

**Coordination of Other Programs**
14.1c. 676(b)(1)(C)  Describe how the state will assure “that funds made available through grant or allotment will be used –
(C) to make more effective use of, and to coordinate with, other programs related to the purposes of this subtitle (including State welfare reform efforts)

Through the MDHS Gen+ project, low-income families are assessed and referred to other DHS programs and local programs. Entities are required to develop partnerships and pool resources with social service providers, local organizations, religious organizations to increase support and opportunities for low-income families and communities, and to avoid duplication of services. In order to fully address the needs of families and to evaluate the outcome of their work, entities must follow up with families to ensure services were provided by the organizations they were referred to.

The State requires eligible entities to submit documentation on their partnerships with other service providers and organizations as part of their community action plan in their subgrants for funding. Eligible entities link families to resources within the entity and within the community.

State Use of Discretionary Funds

14.2. 676(b)(2)  Describe “how the State intends to use discretionary funds made available from the remainder of the grant or allotment described in section 675C(b) in accordance with this subtitle, including a description of how the State will support innovative community and neighborhood-based initiatives related to the purposes of this subtitle.”

Note: the State describes this assurance under “State Use of Funds: Remainder/Discretionary,” items 7.9 and 7.10

[No response; links to items 7.9 and 7.10.]

Eligible Entity Service Delivery, Coordination, and Innovation

14.3. 676(b)(3)  “Based on information provided by eligible entities in the State, a description of...”

Eligible Entity Service Delivery System

14.3a. 676(b)(3)(A)  Describe “the service delivery system, for services provided or coordinated with funds made available through grants made under 675C(a), targeted to low-income individuals and families in communities within the State;”

The service delivery system used to deliver CSBG services is provided by eligible entities to meet identified needs of eligible families and communities, and to
achieve outcomes based on the six national goals. Service delivery systems vary from entity to entity, just as the communities and people served. Commonalties to the service delivery system include:

a. Community outreach to ensure awareness of opportunities for low-income people;

b. Holistic, family-oriented approaches to assessing and addressing needs;

c. Use of multiple and convenient access points for direct service delivery;

d. Provision of multiple and wide-ranging services in order to address the causes and effects of poverty;

e. Common, entity-wide consumer intake forms and processes and

f. Use of community partnerships and referral processes to make the most of efficient use of available resources.

Eligible Entity Linkages – Approach to Filling Service Gaps

14.3b. 676(b)(3)(B) Describe “how linkages will be developed to fill identified gaps in the services, through the provision of information, referrals, case management, and follow up consultations.”

Note: The state describes this assurance in the State Linkages and Communication section, item 9.3b.

Eligible entities are required as part of their community action plan to develop partnerships with local and state social services providers, religious organizations, local governments to fill gaps in the services the entity cannot provide. Referrals are made and follow up is done to ensure services were delivered. The State assures this requirement by requiring entities to submit a list of the local and other partnerships to fill gaps in their service delivery, as part of their annual subgrant for funding.

Coordination of Eligible Entity Allocation 90 Percent Funds with Public/Private Resources

14.3c. 676(b)(3)(C) Describe how funds made available through grants made under 675C(a) will be coordinated with other public and private resources.”

Note: The state describes this assurance in the State Linkages and Communication section, item 9.7.

Eligible entities use their CSBG funds to leverage additional public
and private resources, which assist with achieving outcomes within the framework of the national goals. CSBG resources are used to support the infrastructure of the entities to include sound governance, fiscal, programmatic, and personnel management. This results in greater accountability and capacity, thus enabling entities to leverage public and private resources through their community outreach and partnerships. As reported in the 2019 Leveraging Report, eligible entities leveraged $1,476,150.86.

**Eligible Entity Innovative Community and Neighborhood Initiatives, Including Fatherhood/Parental Responsibility**

14.3d. 676(b)(3)(D) Describe “how the local entity will use the funds [made available under 675C(a)] to support innovative community and neighborhood-based initiatives related to the purposes of this subtitle, which may include fatherhood initiatives and other initiatives with the goal of strengthening families and encouraging parenting.”

**Note:** The description above is about eligible entity use of 90 percent funds to support these initiatives. States may also support these types of activities at the local level using state remainder/discretionary funds, allowable under Section 675C(b)(1)(F). In this State Plan, the state indicates funds allocated for these activities under item 7.9(f).

Eligible entities use funds to develop, implement, and support initiatives such as fatherhood, healthy marriage, and family development activities designed to enhance the quality of family life and strengthen families. Entities are encouraged to partner with other local or state service providers to convene teen, fatherhood and parental summits and training as part of family development activities. These activities will facilitate dialogue and provide valuable data for entities to use in planning services and projects to address challenges expressed by the low-income population.

**Eligible Entity Emergency Food and Nutrition Services**

14.4. 676(b)(4) Describe how the state will assure “that eligible entities in the State will provide, on an emergency basis, for the provision of such supplies and services, nutritious foods, and related services, as may be necessary to counteract conditions of starvation and malnutrition among low-income individuals.”

Eligible entities are required to partner with their local DHS Economic Assistance offices to refer clients for expedited SNAP benefits in emergency situations. If SNAP benefits cannot be provided that same day, entities must provide nutrition
assistance by giving food vouchers to meet emergency nutritional needs. Entities are required to coordinate with local soup kitchens and food banks to meet emergency nutritional needs.

State and Eligible Entity Coordination/linkages and Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act Employment and Training Activities

14.5. 676(b)(5)  Describe how the state will assure “that the State and eligible entities in the State will coordinate, and establish linkages between, governmental and other social services programs to assure the effective delivery of such services, and [describe] how the State and the eligible entities will coordinate the provision of employment and training activities, as defined in section 3 of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act, in the State and in communities with entities providing activities through statewide and local workforce development systems under such Act.”

Note: The state describes this assurance in the State Linkages and Communication section, items 9.1, 9.2, 9.3a, 9.4, 9.4a, and 9.4b.

[No response; links to items 9.1, 9.2, 9.3a, 9.4, 9.4a, and 9.4b]

State Coordination/Linkages and Low-income Home Energy Assistance

14.6. 676(b)(6)  Provide “an assurance that the State will ensure coordination between antipoverty programs in each community in the State, and ensure, where appropriate, that emergency energy crisis intervention programs under title XXVI (relating to low-income home energy assistance) are conducted in such community.”

Note: The state describes this assurance in the State Linkages and Communication section, items 9.2 and 9.5.

[No response; links to 9.2 and 9.5]

Federal Investigations

14.7. 676(b)(7)  Provide “an assurance that the State will permit and cooperate with Federal investigations undertaken in accordance with section 678D.”
**Funding Reduction or Termination**

14.8. 676(b)(8) Provide “an assurance that any eligible entity in the State that received funding in the previous fiscal year through a community services block grant made under this subtitle will not have its funding terminated under this subtitle, or reduced below the proportional share of funding the entity received in the previous fiscal year unless, after providing notice and an opportunity for a hearing on the record, the State determines that cause exists for such termination or such reduction, subject to review by the Secretary as provided in section 678C(b).”

**Coordination with Faith-based Organizations, Charitable Groups, Community Organizations**

14.9. 676(b)(9) Describe how the state will assure “that the State and eligible entities in the State will, to the maximum extent possible, coordinate programs with and form partnerships with other organizations serving low-income residents of the communities and members of the groups served by the State, including religious organizations, charitable groups, and community organizations.”

**Eligible Entity Tripartite Board Representation**

14.10. 676(b)(10) Describe how “the State will require each eligible entity in the State to establish procedures under which a low-income individual, community organization, or religious organization, or representative of low-income individuals that considers its organization, or low-income individuals, to be inadequately represented on the board (or other mechanism) of the eligible entity to petition for adequate representation.”
The State has a Board Policy in place to ensure this requirement. The Board Policy is given to all entities and the State conducts reviews of eligible entity bylaws to ensure bylaws have policies for tripartite board representation.

Eligible Entity Community Action Plans and Community Needs Assessments

14.11. 676(b)(11) Provide “an assurance that the State will secure from each eligible entity in the State, as a condition to receipt of funding by the entity through a community services block grant made under this subtitle for a program, a community action plan (which shall be submitted to the Secretary, at the request of the Secretary, with the State plan) that includes a community- needs assessment for the community served, which may be coordinated with community-needs assessments conducted for other programs.”

[No response; links to items 13.5 and 13.6]

State and Eligible Entity Performance Measurement: ROMA or Alternate system

14.12. 676(b)(12) Provide “an assurance that the State and all eligible entities in the State will, not later than fiscal year 2001, participate in the Results Oriented Management and Accountability System, another performance measure system for which the Secretary facilitated development pursuant to section 678E(b), or an alternative system for measuring performance and results that meets the requirements of that section, and [describe] outcome measures to be used to measure eligible entity performance in promoting self-sufficiency, family stability, and community revitalization.”

Note: The state describes this assurance in the ROMA section, items 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, and 13.4.

[No response; links to 13.1, 13.2, 13.3, and 13.4]

Validation for CSBG Eligible Entity Programmatic Narrative Sections

14.13. 676(b)(13) Provide “information describing how the State will carry out the assurances described in this section.”

Note: The state provides information for each of the assurances directly in section 14 or in corresponding items throughout the State Plan, which are included as hyperlinks in section 14.

[No response for this item]

X By checking this box, the state CSBG authorized official is certifying the assurances set out above.
SECTION 15
Federal Certifications

The box after each certification must be checked by the State CSBG authorized official.

15.1. Lobbying

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, “Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying,” in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $10,000 and not more than $100,000 for each such failure.

Statement for Loan Guarantees and Loan Insurance

The undersigned states, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

If any funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this commitment providing for the United States to insure or guarantee a loan, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, “Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying,” in accordance with its instructions. Submission of this statement is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required statement shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than $10,000 and not more than $100,000 for each such failure.

X  By checking this box, the state CSBG authorized official is providing the certification set out above.
15.2. Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

This certification is required by the regulations implementing the Drug-Free Workplace Act of 1988: 45 CFR Part 76, Subpart, F. Sections 76.630(c) and (d)(2) and 76.645 (a)(1) and (b) provide that a Federal agency may designate a central receipt point for STATE-WIDE AND STATE AGENCY-WIDE certifications, and for notification of criminal drug convictions. For the Department of Health and Human Services, the central point is: Division of Grants Management and Oversight, Office of Management and Acquisition, Department of Health and Human Services, Room 517-D, 200 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20201.

Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements (Instructions for Certification)

(1) By signing and/or submitting this application or grant agreement, the grantee is providing the certification set out below.

(2) The certification set out below is a material representation of fact upon which reliance is placed when the agency awards the grant. If it is later determined that the grantee knowingly rendered a false certification, or otherwise violates the requirements of the Drug-Free Workplace Act, the agency, in addition to any other remedies available to the Federal Government, may take action authorized under the Drug-Free Workplace Act.

(3) For grantees other than individuals, Alternate I applies.

(4) For grantees who are individuals, Alternate II applies.

(5) Workplaces under grants, for grantees other than individuals, need to be identified on the certification. If known, they may be identified in the grant application. If the grantee does not identify the workplaces at the time of application, or upon award, if there is no application, the grantee must keep the identity of the workplace(s) on file in its office and make the information available for Federal inspection. Failure to identify all known workplaces constitutes a violation of the grantee’s drug-free workplace requirements.

(6) Workplace identifications must include the actual address of buildings (or parts of buildings) or other sites where work under the grant takes place. Categorical descriptions may be used (e.g., all vehicles of a mass transit authority or State highway department while in operation, State employees in each local unemployment office, performers in concert halls or radio studios).

(7) If the workplace identified to the agency changes during the performance of the grant, the grantee shall inform the agency of the change(s), if it previously identified the workplaces in question (see paragraph five).

(8) Definitions of terms in the Nonprocurement Suspension and Debarment common rule and Drug-Free Workplace common rule apply to this certification. Grantees’ attention is called, in particular, to the following definitions from these rules:

Controlled substance means a controlled substance in Schedules I through V of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812) and as further defined by regulation (21 CFR 1308.11 through 1308.15);

Conviction means a finding of guilt (including a plea of nolo contendere) or imposition of
sentence, or both, by any judicial body charged with the responsibility to determine violations of the Federal or State criminal drug statutes;

*Criminal drug statute* means a Federal or non-Federal criminal statute involving the manufacture, distribution, dispensing, use, or possession of any controlled substance;

*Employee* means the employee of a grantee directly engaged in the performance of work under a grant, including: (i) All direct charge employees; (ii) All indirect charge employees unless their impact or involvement is insignificant to the performance of the grant; and, (iii) Temporary personnel and consultants who are directly engaged in the performance of work under the grant and who are on the grantee’s payroll. This definition does not include workers not on the payroll of the grantee (e.g., volunteers, even if used to meet a matching requirement; consultants or independent contractors not on the grantee’s payroll; or employees of subrecipients or subcontractors in covered workplaces).

**Certification Regarding Drug-Free Workplace Requirements**

Alternate I. (Grantees Other Than Individuals)

The grantee certifies that it will or will continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:

(a) Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee’s workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;

(b) Establishing an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform employees about - -
   (1) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;
   (2) The grantee’s policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;
   (3) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and
   (4) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;

(c) Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph (a);

(d) Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph (a) that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will - -
   (1) Abide by the terms of the statement; and
   (2) Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;

(e) Notifying the agency in writing, within 10 calendar days after receiving notice under paragraph (d)(2) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to every grant officer or other designee on whose grant activity the convicted employee was working, unless the Federal agency has designated a central point for the receipt of such notices. Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant;
(f) Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under paragraph (d)(2), with respect to any employee who is so convicted - -

(1) Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; or

(2) Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency;

(g) Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f).

The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:

Place of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code) [Narrative, 2500 characters]

☐ Check if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here. Alternate II. (Grantees Who Are Individuals)

(a) The grantee certifies that, as a condition of the grant, he or she will not engage in the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance in conducting any activity with the grant;

(b) If convicted of a criminal drug offense resulting from a violation occurring during the conduct of any grant activity, he or she will report the conviction, in writing, within 10 calendar days of the conviction, to every grant officer or other designee, unless the Federal agency designates a central point for the receipt of such notices. When notice is made to such a central point, it shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant.

[55 FR 21690, 21702, May 25, 1990]

X By checking this box, the state CSBG authorized official is providing the certification set out above.
15.3.  Debarment

**CERTIFICATION REGARDING DEBARMENT, SUSPENSION AND OTHER RESPONSIBILITY MATTERS**

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters -
Primary Covered Transactions

Instructions for Certification

(1) By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective primary participant is providing the certification set out below.

(2) The inability of a person to provide the certification required below will not necessarily result in denial of participation in this covered transaction. The prospective participant shall submit an explanation of why it cannot provide the certification set out below. The certification or explanation will be considered in connection with the department or agency’s determination whether to enter into this transaction. However, failure of the prospective primary participant to furnish a certification or an explanation shall disqualify such person from participation in this transaction.

(3) The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when the department or agency determined to enter into this transaction. If it is later determined that the prospective primary participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.

(4) The prospective primary participant shall provide immediate written notice to the department or agency to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective primary participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

(5) The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meanings set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of the rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the department or agency to which this proposal is being submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.

(6) The prospective primary participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency entering into this transaction.

(7) The prospective primary participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include the clause titled “Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and
Voluntary Exclusive—Lower Tier Covered Transaction,” provided by the department or agency entering into this covered transaction, without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.

(8) A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the covered transaction, unless it knows that the certification is erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs.

(9) Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

(10) Except for transactions authorized under paragraph 6 of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency may terminate this transaction for cause or default.

************

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, and Other Responsibility Matters

Primary Covered Transactions

(1) The prospective primary participant certifies to the best of its knowledge and belief, that it and its principals:

(a) Are not presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded by any Federal department or agency;

(b) Have not within a three-year period preceding this proposal been convicted of or had a civil judgment rendered against them for commission of fraud or a criminal offense in connection with obtaining, attempting to obtain, or performing a public (Federal, State or local) transaction or contract under a public transaction; violation of Federal or State antitrust statutes or commission of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, making false statements, or receiving stolen property;

(c) Are not presently indicted for or otherwise criminally or civilly charged by a governmental entity (Federal, State or local) with commission of any of the offenses enumerated in paragraph (1)(b) of this certification; and

(d) Have not within a three-year period preceding this application/proposal had one or more public transactions (Federal, State or local) terminated for cause or default.
Where the prospective primary participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - Lower Tier Covered Transactions

Instructions for Certification

(1) By signing and submitting this proposal, the prospective lower tier participant is providing the certification set out below.

(2) The certification in this clause is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was entered into. If it is later determined that the prospective lower tier participant knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

(3) The prospective lower tier participant shall provide immediate written notice to the person to which this proposal is submitted if at any time the prospective lower tier participant learns that its certification was erroneous when submitted or had become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances.

(4) The terms covered transaction, debarred, suspended, ineligible, lower tier covered transaction, participant, person, primary covered transaction, principal, proposal, and voluntarily excluded, as used in this clause, have the meaning set out in the Definitions and Coverage sections of rules implementing Executive Order 12549. You may contact the person to which this proposal is submitted for assistance in obtaining a copy of those regulations.

(5) The prospective lower tier participant agrees by submitting this proposal that, should the proposed covered transaction be entered into, it shall not knowingly enter into any lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this covered transaction, unless authorized by the department or agency with which this transaction originated.

(6) The prospective lower tier participant further agrees by submitting this proposal that it will include this clause titled “Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion-Lower Tier Covered Transaction,” without modification, in all lower tier covered transactions and in all solicitations for lower tier covered transactions.

(7) A participant in a covered transaction may rely upon a certification of a prospective participant in a lower tier covered transaction that it is not proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, debarred, suspended, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from covered transactions, unless it knows that the certification is
erroneous. A participant may decide the method and frequency by which it determines the eligibility of its principals. Each participant may, but is not required to, check the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement and Nonprocurement Programs.

(8) Nothing contained in the foregoing shall be construed to require establishment of a system of records in order to render in good faith the certification required by this clause. The knowledge and information of a participant is not required to exceed that which is normally possessed by a prudent person in the ordinary course of business dealings.

(9) Except for transactions authorized under paragraph five of these instructions, if a participant in a covered transaction knowingly enters into a lower tier covered transaction with a person who is proposed for debarment under 48 CFR part 9, subpart 9.4, suspended, debarred, ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction, in addition to other remedies available to the Federal Government, the department or agency with which this transaction originated may pursue available remedies, including suspension and/or debarment.

************

Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension, Ineligibility and Voluntary Exclusion - - Lower Tier Covered Transactions

(1) The prospective lower tier participant certifies, by submission of this proposal, that neither it nor its principals is presently debarred, suspended, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in this transaction by any Federal department or agency.

(2) Where the prospective lower tier participant is unable to certify to any of the statements in this certification, such prospective participant shall attach an explanation to this proposal.

X By checking this box, the state CSBG authorized official is providing the certification set out above
15.4. Environmental Tobacco Smoke

Public Law 103-227, Part C Environmental Tobacco Smoke, also known as the Pro Children Act of 1994, requires that smoking not be permitted in any portion of any indoor routinely owned or leased or contracted for by an entity and used routinely or regularly for provision of health, day care, education, or library services to children under the age of 18, if the services are funded by Federal programs either directly or through State or local governments, by Federal grant, contract, loan, or loan guarantee. The law does not apply to children’s services provided in private residences, facilities funded solely by Medicare or Medicaid funds, and portions of facilities used for inpatient drug or alcohol treatment. Failure to comply with the provisions of the law may result in the imposition of a civil monetary penalty of up to $1000 per day and/or the imposition of an administrative compliance order on the responsible entity by signing and submitting this application the applicant/grantee certifies that it will comply with the requirements of the Act.

The applicant/grantee further agrees that it will require the language of this certification be included in any subawards which contain provisions for the children’s services and that all subgrantees shall certify accordingly.

X  By checking this box, the state CSBG authorized official is providing the certification set out above.
ATTACHMENTS
ATTACHMENT-LETTER OF DESIGNATION

State of Mississippi
Tate Reeves
Governor

August 11, 2020

Mr. Clarence H. Carter, Director
Office of Community Services
Administration for Children and Families
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
370 L’Enfant Promenade, S.W., 5th Floor, West
Washington, D.C. 20447

Dear Mr. Carter:

I hereby authorize Mr. Robert G. “Bob” Anderson, Executive Director of the Mississippi Department of Human Services (MDHS), to be the official signature authority for all funds appropriated to MDHS by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. In addition, I authorize Mr. Anderson to sign all assurances for the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) and Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) grant applications outlined in the respective statute, as amended. To comply with the LIHEAP statute, Mr. Anderson is duly authorized to certify that MDHS will comply with the 16 assurances contained in the LIHEAP Act, Title XXVI of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 as amended, in the administration and operation of its Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program.

Should you need additional information, please contact Mr. Anderson at (601)359-4457 or P.O. Box 352, Jackson, Mississippi 39205.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Tate Reeves
GOVERNOR

TR:BA:tr
cc: Mr. Bob Anderson
August 11, 2020

Mr. Clarence H. Carter, Director
Office of Community Services
Administration for Children and Families
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 370 L’Enfant Promenade, S. W., 5th Floor, West Washington, D. C. 20447

Dear Mr. Carter:

I hereby authorize the Director of the Division of Community Services (DCS) of the Mississippi Department of Human Services (MDHS), to be the official designee of signature authority for all funds appropriated to MDHS-DCS by the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services for the On-Line Data Collection System (OLDC) purpose only. In addition, I authorize the Director of the Division of Community Services to sign all assurances for the Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) and Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP) grant applications outlined in the respective statute, as amended. To comply with the LIHEAP statute, the Director of the Division of Community Services is duly authorized to certify that MDHS will comply with the 16 assurances contained in the LIHEAP Act, Title XXVI of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 as amended, in the administration and operation of its Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program.

The Director of the Division of Community Services, Ms. Tina Ruffin, will serve as the state liaison for the implementation of the Community Services Block Grant and the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Programs. All routine correspondence from your office should be directed to Ms. Ruffin and you may contact her directly at P.O. Box 352, Jackson, Mississippi 39205 or telephone (601) 359-4768.

Sincerely,

Robert G. “Bob” Anderson
Executive Director

BA:tr
ATTACHMENT- PUBLIC HEARING LEGAL NOTICE

LEGAL GRANTS
(Block Grants)
MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF
HUMAN SERVICES
Division of Community Services
Legislative Public Hearing

The Mississippi Department of Human Services, Division of Community Services will be conducting the Legislative Public Hearing on the Community Services Block Grant and Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Programs under Title 26 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981, as amended. The hearing for the 2022 programs is scheduled for Tuesday, July 13, 2021, at 11:00 am through Zoom meeting by the Mississippi Department of Human Services due to the COVID-19 Pandemic. Participants can join the Zoom meeting via https://mdhs.zoom.us/j/95524517505?pwd=SjRvUXpSTms3Q2FvVnA3dFJZZUlUdz09, or through One tap mobile: meeting ID: 955 2451 7505, Passcode: 232835, One tap mobile +13017158592,,95524517505#,,,,*232835# US (Washington DC) +13126266799,,95524517505#,,,,*232835# US (Chicago). If lines are busy, please try one of the following numbers, +1 301 715 8592 US (Washington DC) +1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago), +1 646 876 9923 US (New York), +1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose), +1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma), +1 346 248 7799 US (Houston), or +1 408 638 0968 US (San Jose) and the meeting ID: 955 2451 7505, Passcode: 232835.

The Community Services Block Grant provides funds for a range of activities to ameliorate the causes and effects of poverty. For Fiscal Year 2022, at least 90 percent of the funds allocated to the State through these grants will be contracted to non-profit community action agencies, migrant seasonal farm worker organizations or community-based organizations that meet the eligibility requirements as described in Section 675 of the Community Services Block Grant Act, as amended. The eligibility requirements for the program are outlined in the application process.

The Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program assists eligible households to pay the costs of home energy bills and other energy-related services, for example, wood, kerosene, electricity, gas, heaters, blankets, fans and air conditioners. For Fiscal Year 2022, at least 90 percent of the funds allocated to the State through these grants will be contracted to private, nonprofit, and public agencies designated in accordance with Public Law 97-35, as amended. The eligibility requirements for the program are outlined in the application process.

Copies of the state plans are available for review at the Mississippi Department of Human Services by calling 601-359-4768 or 1-800-421-0762.

Inquiries, comments or suggestions regarding the block grant plans and/or eligibility requirements must be received on or before July 20, 2021 by the Division of Community Services, P. O. Box 352, Jackson, Mississippi 39205. Public comments will be reviewed before finalizing the 2022 State Plans for these programs.
TO: Lashanda Feazell,  
Office of Procurement Services

FROM: Nicole McBeath  
Division of Community Services

DATE: June 03, 2021

SUBJECT: Publication of Legal Notice- Revised

The Division of Community Services requests that a legal notice concerning the Legislative Public Hearing on the Community Services Block Grant and Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Programs be published in the classified section of the twelve newspapers listed (See attachment). The information should be advertised on June 10, 2021 and July 6, 2021 in each newspaper. Please send us the copies of the newspaper advertisements from three different publishers as soon as they are announced.

Should you have any questions, please contact Nicole McBeath at 601-359-4765.

TMR: nm

Attachments
**NEWSPAPERS**

1. **The Jackson Advocate**  
   115 East Hamilton  
   Jackson, MS 39202

7. **The Clarion Ledger**  
   Post Office Box 40  
   Jackson, MS 39205-0040

2. **The Natchez Democrat**  
   Post Office Box 1447  
   Natchez, MS 39121-39221

8. **The Vicksburg Evening Post**  
   Post Office Box 951  
   Vicksburg, MS 39180

3. **The Commercial Dispatch**  
   Post Office Box 511  
   Columbus, MS 39703

9. **The Northeast MS Daily Journal**  
   Post Office Box 909  
   Tupelo, MS 38801

4. **The Delta Democrat Times**  
   Post Office Box 1618  
   Greenville, MS 38701

10. **The Hattiesburg American**  
    Post Office Box 1111  
    Hattiesburg, MS 39401

5. **The Daily Corinthian**  
   Post Office Box 119  
   Corinth, MS 38834

11. **The Clarksdale Press Registrar**  
    Post Office Box 1119  
    Clarksdale, MS 38614

6. **The Gulf Publishing Company**  
   Post Office Box 4567  
   Biloxi, MS 39535

12. **The Meridian Star**  
    Post Office Box 1591  
    Meridian, MS 39301
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MISSISSIPPI DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
DIVISION OF COMMUNITY SERVICES

LEGISLATIVE PUBLIC HEARING
2022 LIHEAP & CSBG PROGRAMS

Zoom Meeting
200 South Lamar Street
Jackson, Mississippi
Tuesday, July 13, 2021
11:00 a.m.

AGENDA

OPENING REMARKS AND INTRODUCTION:
Nicole McBeath, Deputy Director

PURPOSE OF HEARING:

Presentation of FFY 2022 State Plans

LIHEAP ...................... Nicole McBeath, Deputy Director

CSBG. ....................... Jessica Davis, Program Director
MS. NICOLE MCCARTHY: On behalf of the Mississippi Department of Human Services, under the leadership of Mr. Bob Anderson, the Executive Director, Tina Rufin, Division Director of Community Services, and myself, Nicola McCarthy, Deputy Director of Community Services.

We welcome you to the fiscal year 2023 Virtual Legislative Public Hearing for the Community Services Block Grant and the Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program. The hearing is being held virtually again due to the Covid-19 pandemic.

The purpose of this hearing is to inform the public of the GSE application pursuant to the 6764Act of the Community Services Block Grant Act and the LEAP application under Title 26 of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1991 as amended.

Evidence that a Legislative Public Hearing on the State plans were conducted, that the plans were made available for public inspection and review, must be submitted with the State plans.

We hold the hearing annually to present the goals and objectives of the State plans for funding of the CAPS and LEAP Program to the Department of Health and Human Services.

Please, feel free to make comments, ask questions, and participate in this important session.

And we look forward to your continued support in the year 2023.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank our legislators, other public divisions, and the citizens of this great state for their opinions.

And do we have any elected officials attending this meeting at this time? If so, please, unmute your phone and state your name and your title.

(Court Reporter: I'm sorry, but all this is running together. I cannot hear or understand what is being said.

MS. MCCARTHY: Everybody, please, make sure you mute your phones and computers, so we can hear some of the background noise.

The purpose of LEAP. The LEAP statute, Title 26 of Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1991 states: The purpose of the LEAP is to assist eligible households with the cost of their home energy bill such as electricity, gas, kerosene, wood, et cetera, and other energy-related services like air-conditioners.

heaters, hot water heaters, blankets, and fans.

LEAP defines vulnerable population as children 6 to five years of age, older, adult, person with chronic health conditions, housebound, person, low-income people, disabled persons.

And studies have shown that higher outdoor temps lead to higher indoor temps, especially for certain structures, which means pre-existing health conditions make each population more vulnerable.

These are the LEAP goals, but I will only address a few of these goals. And I hope to target and provide financial assistance and consumer education to all low-income households being served, taking into account both energy consumption and vulnerability of one or more household members disabled, elderly, and children, while at the same time, reducing the clients burden of energy through cost consumption.

To increase energy affordability for LEAP recipient households. To increase efficiency of energy consumption for LEAP recipient households. And to perform whole house weatherization measures to a designated number of homes using LEAP funds, targeting households to deliver the energy cost consumption of the household.

The LEAP Benefit Matrix. Federal LEAP Act stipulates that households with the lowest income receive the greatest LEAP benefit. The maximum amount a client can receive per program year from January 1 through December 31 at $1,500. And based on income, the limits may be less than the maximum benefit amount.

LEAP benefits are capped by energy types, such as electricity, natural gas, propane, other fuel, wood. And each energy type has a maximum benefit. This is an example of what the LEAP Benefit Matrix looks like from the different income levels and the household size.

For example, a household size of one with income between 0 and $2,250 can receive $500 in propane, $500 for electric, and $400 in wood or other fuel, for a maximum benefit amount of $1,500.

And if the household is total electric, they can receive the whole $1,500. And if you can see, as the income increases for a household size of one, the benefit amount goes down.

This month is the 2022 Federal Poverty Guidelines for CSBG LEAP/Weatherization Effective October 1, 2021. This chart is used to determine income eligibility for the client.

And the first column is the number of...
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#### Page 6

| 1  | household for one to 20 members. |
| 2  | The second column is the Federal Poverty Guidelines. Annual income. |
| 3  | The third column is the CSBG Federal Poverty Guidelines, which Jessica will address at a later date in her presentation. |
| 4  | The fourth column is for the DOE/LIEAP Weatherization and CSBG COVID-19 program. The last column is the Federal Poverty Guidelines called LIEAP/LIEAP American Rescue Plan and the Water Grant program. |
| 5  | So household size of one is eligible for LIEAP, if they make $12,418 or less. And if the number of people in the household exceeds 20, please contact Community Services for the annual income. |
| 6  | This slide shows the 2021 LIEAP Tentative Allocation by Agency. These allocations are based on the current 2021 LIEAP final award. Once DCS received the 2021 LIEAP final award, we will revise these allocations during the modification process. And I'll give you a moment just to look at your allocation. |
| 7  | This next chart is the fiscal year 2020 LIEAP. Households Overall Assisted by Type of Assistance for the period of October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020. For housing, there was a total of 17,415 households. |

#### Page 7

| 1  | Cooling was 12,409. Year-round crisis was 1,182. |
| 2  | Emergency equipment was 1,355. Home weatherization was 91. |
| 3  | Any type of LIEAP assistance was 39,435 households. |
| 4  | And this number is unduplicated. Bill payment assistance was total of 39.195. |
| 5  | These are the LIEAP Community Action Agencies that we administer the LIEAP funds to and the Counties they serve. |

#### Page 8

| 1  | fiscal year 2021 CSBG/LIEAP State Plan availability for inspection was made known in the Public Hearing announcement described above. Opportunities for comments were also available during the Virtual Public Hearing held on July 13th, 2021, via Zoom Meeting at 11:00 o'clock, via e-mail to tina.ruffin@msdh.ms.gov. Or mailed to The Mississippi Department of Human Services, 200 South Lamar Street, Jackson, Mississippi 39201. |
| 2  | At this time, I would like to turn it over for questions, comments, or concerns. Please, unmute your phone, if you have any questions. Make sure you state your name and the agency you are representing before you proceed for the court reporter. |
| 3  | MS. LIZ BRESTER: Hi, this is Liz Brester with Exxen. And I also posed my question in the chart. I'm curious what percentage of funds were expended from FY20 and if there were any that were not obligated that led to be returned to the Federal Government. |
| 4  | MS. TINA RUFFIN: Good morning, Liz. This is Tina Ruffin, Director of Community Services. At this point, we don't have that information. We can get that information for you and send it out to you or anybody else who has the same question. And the 2021 |
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| 1  | grants are still open. So we would have to give in amount through today. |
| 2  | MS. LIZ BRESTER: Okay. Thank you. |
| 3  | MS. NICOLE McBEATH: Are there any other questions? |
| 4  | MS. JESSICA DAVIS: If there are no further questions, I'll proceed with the CSBG portion of the FY 2022 Virtual Public Hearing. |
| 5  | Good morning, my name is Jessica Davis, and I am the LIEAP and CSBG Program Director, and I'll be funding the CSBG portion of the Virtual Public Hearing. |
| 6  | The FY 2022 Community Services Block Grant State Plan, it serves as the State of Mississippi's application for Federal CSBG funding. It describes Mississippi's proposed use of FFY 2022 CSBG funding to produce positive outcomes towards the elimination of the causes and effects of poverty. |
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1. Progress. And WWII CAA.
2. The Legislative Public Hearing the FFY 2022
3. CSBG/LINEAP Legislative Public Hearing held on July 13,
4. 2021. The notice of the Public Hearing appeared in 12
5. different Newspapers. And the Public Inspection of the
6. Plan is as follows. I won’t repeat this. Nicole has
7. already mentioned it.
8. The Purposes of CSBG Funds is to remove
9. obstacles and solve problems that block achievement of
10. self-sufficiency.
11. Secure and retain meaningful employment.
12. Attain an adequate education.
13. Make better use of available income.
14. Achieve greater participation in the affairs
15. of the community involved.
16. Obtain and maintain adequate housing and a
17. suitable living environment.
18. And obtain emergency assistance through loans,
19. grants, or other means to meet immediate and urgent
20. family and individual needs.
21. The Goals, Objectives and Assurances. Engage
22. and support clients to access and expand their self-
23. skills — expand their skills to obtain meaningful
24. employment or new careers.
25. Assist clients in obtaining new skills for the
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1. Work From Home economy to include distance learning
2. initiatives.
3. Emphasize the need for legal, effective, and
4. quality board of directors ensuring more diversity in
5. service delivery, Community Involvement and
6. Partnerships.
7. Sponsor financial literacy seminars for
8. clients to increase credit worthiness in efforts to
9. obtain and maintain safe and affordable housing.
10. And lastly, develop a statewide Pandemic
11. Cohort to address challenges faced by families during
12. the pandemic.
13. The Distribution and Allocation of Funds. In
14. accordance with the CSBG Act. Ninety (90) percent of
15. the State of Mississippi’s total CSBG allocation must be
16. distributed to the State’s eligible entities. Twenty-five (25)% of each eligible
17. entity’s allocation must be allocated to the Supportive
18. Services Activity for direct client services.
19. The Fifteen (15)% of each eligible
20. entity’s allocation may be used for the Administration
22. And Sixty (60)% of each eligible
23. entity’s allocation may be used for Case Management
24. The Distribution and Use of Discretionary
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1. Funds.
2. MDHS/DCS will allocate at least Five (5)
3. percent of the CSBG funds received by the State to
4. support discretionary projects related to the purposes
5. of the CSBG Act and the six national goals
6. A percentage of discretionary funds are
7. allocated based on the following activities:
8. Capacity Building: At 65 percent. And other
9. CSBG purposes: At 34 percent.
10. As Nicole also mentioned, here’s a breakdown
11. of the Federal Poverty Guidelines. And as you can see
12. CSBG for a household of one, the individual can make
13. $15,100 or less in order to receive CSBG services.
14. 2022 CSBG Tentative Allocations. Here’s a
15. breakdown of allocations based on the Community Action
16. Agencies. As Nicole also mentioned, this is based
17. on the federal fiscal year 2021.
18. Case Management Services provided by CSBG:
19. Employment, Job Training Services/Assistance.
20. Employment Services/Assistance,
22. Vocational Training.
23. An additional one is Housing Services,
24. Housing Financial Assistance,
25. Wastewater Treatment Services.
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1. Transitional Shelter,
2. Home Ownership;
3. I won’t go through all of them but these are
4. services that the Case Management or that CSBG Program
5. Services can provide.
6. The Individual and Family National Performance
7. Indicators. These are the outcome that the State of
8. Mississippi achieved with the assistance of the
9. Community Action Agency through their support of the
10. CSBG funding.
11. For employment. Unemployed adults who
12. obtained employment. There were 1,626 people who were
13. unemployed who obtained employment through the CSBG
14. program. There were 117 individuals who obtained a high
15. school diploma or equivalency certificate or diploma.
16. Another income number for the State of
17. Mississippi was the households who obtained Safe and
18. Affordable Housing which was 3,115. And for seniors to
19. maintain independent living, there was 3,207.
20. The Public Hearing has ended. Is there any
21. questions, comments, or concerns?
22. MS. MARY RUIHL: This is Mary Ruhl with Madison
23. County Citizens Services Agency. And I was wondering if
24. we could get an e-mail of this slide information?
25. MS. TINA RUFFIN: Yes, you can. Do you

4 (Pages 10 to 13)
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1. just want us to send it to Madison County? What was your name again?
3. MRS. TINA RUFFIN. Okay, Miss Ruhl, we'll send it to you.
4. MRS. MARY Ruhl. Thank you.
5. MS. KEISHA BUTLER. Hi, this is Keisha Butler.
6. MRS. TINA RUFFIN. Of Southwest Mississippi Opportunities, Good afternoon, or good morning still to everyone. Ms. Ruffin would you, please send it to our agency, so I may share this also with our board of directors?
7. MRS. TINA RUFFIN. Yes, ma'am. Matter of fact, we'll send it out to all agencies.
8. MS. KEISHA BUTLER. Thank you.
9. MRS. TINA RUFFIN. Yes, ma'am.
10. MRS. JESSICA DAVIS. Are there any more questions or concerns?
11. MRS. NICOLE McBEATH. If there are no more questions or concerns, we're going to go ahead and start the roll call. I will call the agency name out you unmute your phone, and please, have everyone state who's in attendance and speak up clearly.
12. AFOC? AFOC is anyone? If you're having trouble with the speakers, just put a list of attendance in the chat. Also, you can send an e-mail. (Echo)
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1. repeating audio) Belver?
2. MRS. MAE WILLIAMS. Mae Williams, Belver?
3. MRS. NICOLE McBEATH. Is that everyone for Belver?
4. COURT REPORTER. Audio is not working. Here's a list of the names that I was able to get off the screen.
5. RCHRA: Jessi McLendon and Mark Dearnam.
6. SHCPA: Amanda Johnson, Marvis Bell.
7. Mid-State Opportunities: Lynndy Bradford and Pam McFerrin.
8. LIFT: Incorporated: Dorothy Leasy, Marilyn Davis, Fesia Price, Chevelle Powell.
9. JCCA: Cynthia Black.
10. MCCSA: Shirley Blank.
12. Delta Austin, Crystal Asoc, Denis Gehlking, Sarah Jones, Gail Dorson, Sandra Miller, Nance Miller, Jennie Mustin, Chiquita Evans.
14. SCAA: Kim Baver.
15. SMO: Keisha Butler.
16. SCAA: Lashanti Walker.
17. Multi-County CSA: Teresa Grice.
18. MDMT: Malcolm Smith.
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1. MCCSA: Sharon Weaver, Mary Ruhl.
2. Liz Bristor.
3. Ronald Collier.
4. Barbara Barry.
5. Adina Whitten.
6. Alicia Jackson.
7. Angela Mudin.
8. B. Jackson.
11. Charmis.
12. Dynisha.
17. Jacqueline James.
20. J. Robinson.
22. LaShunda McCormack.
23. MS. NICOLE McBEATH. Obviously, we're having some technical difficulties. We're just going to have all agencies anyone in attendance to send us an e-mail with everyone that's in attendance. I'm getting echo and some people are having their names two or three times. So I'm not going to finish the list out. Just send us an e-mail. You can send it to Nicole nicole.mcbeath@mish.ms.gov. And that goes for any other participant as well. Also, if you have any other questions, you can e-mail that to us as well.
24. The Division of Community Services would like to thank each and every one of you for attending this year's virtual public hearing. And for all the hard work that y'all are doing in making these programs a success. We sincerely appreciate you and couldn't do this without you. Please, keep up the good work and continue to be safe.
25. This now concludes the Public Hearing for the fiscal year 2022. Thank you so much. (Time Noted: 1145 a.m.)
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Gulfport 1-800-245-3376 New Orleans
CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

I, Melie M. Pierce, Court Reporter and

Notary Public, in and for the State of

Mississippi, hereby certify that the foregoing

contains a true and correct transcript of the

Public Hearing as taken by me in the

aforementioned matter at the time and place

herefore stated, as taken by stenotype and later

reduced to typewritten form under my supervision

by means of computer-aided transcription.

I further certify that under the

authority vested in me by the State of Mississippi

that the witness was placed under oath by me to

truthfully answer all questions in the matter.

I further certify that, to the best of

my knowledge, I am not in the employ of or related

to any party in this matter and have no interest,

monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of

this matter.

Witness my signature and seal this the

5th day of August, 2021.

[Signature]

My Commission Expires:

October 27, 2023
ATTACHMENT-ELIGIBLE ENTITIES

AJFC (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 3011
1038 N. Union, Bldg A.
Natchez, MS 39120
(601) 442-8681 or 1-855-443-8681
Fax (601) 442-9572
Executive Director: Carmen Drake

Adams, Claiborne, Copiah, Franklin, Jefferson, Lawrence and Lincoln

Bolivar County (Nonprofit)
810 East Sunflower Road
Suite 120
Cleveland, MS 38732
(662) 846-1491
Fax (662) 283-2352
Executive Director: Elnora Littleton

Bolivar

Central Mississippi, Inc. (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 749
101 South Central Ave.
Winona, MS 38967
(662) 283-4781
Fax (662) 283-2352
Executive Director: Pamela Gary

Attala, Carroll, Grenada, Holmes, Leflore, Montgomery and Yalobusha

Coahoma Opportunities, Inc. (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 1445
115 Issaquena Ave.
Clarksdale, MS 38614
(662) 624-4887
Fax (662) 624-4915
Executive Director: Edward Seals

Coahoma

Hinds County Human Resource Agency (Public)
P.O. Box 22657
258 Maddox Road
Jackson, MS 39212
(601) 923-3930
Fax (601) 923-3925
President/CEO: Kenn Cockrell

Hinds
Jackson County CAA (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 8723
5343 Jefferson Street
Moss Point, MS 39562
(228) 769-3292
Fax (228)769-3264
Executive Director: Diann Payne

Harrison, George, and Jackson

LIFT, Incorporated (Nonprofit)
2577 McCullough Blvd.
Belden, MS  38826
(662) 842-9511 or 1-800-844-5438
Fax (662)842-5575
Executive Director: Dorothy Leasy

Calhoun, Chickasaw, Itawamba, Lafayette, Lee, Monroe, Pontotoc and Union

Mid-State Opportunities Inc. (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 270
204 North Church Street
Charleston, MS 38921
(662) 647-2463 or 1-800-523-6683
Fax (662)647-5868
Executive Director: Lynda Bradford

Panola, Quitman, Tallahatchie, Tunica, Tate and Desoto

Multi-County CSA (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 905
2906 St. Paul Street
Meridian, MS 39302
(601) 483-4838 or 1-800-898-0659
Fax (601)428-9861
Executive Director: Ronald Collier

Clarke, Jasper, Kemper, Lauderdale, Neshoba, Newton, Scott, Smith and Wayne

Northeast MS CS (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 930
801 Hatchie Street
Booneville, MS 38829
(662) 728-2118 or 1-877-728-2118
Fax (662)728-8720
Executive Director: Steve Gaines

Alcorn, Benton, Marshall, Prentiss, Tippah and Tishomingo
Pearl River Valley Opportunity (PRVO) (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 188
756 Hwy. 98 Bypass
Columbia, MS 39429
(601) 736-9564 or 1-866-736-9564
Fax (601)736-6288
Executive Director: Helmon Johnson

Covington, Greene, Hancock, Forrest, Jefferson Davis, Lamar, Marion, Pearl River, Jones, Perry, and Stone

Prairie Opportunity (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 1526
501 Hwy. 12 West
Suite 110
Starkville, MS 39759
(662) 323-3397 or 1-888-397-5550
Fax (662)323-8754
Executive Director: Laura Marshall

Choctaw, Clay, Leake, Lowndes, Noxubee, Oktibbeha, Webster and Winston

South Central Community Action Agency (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 6590
3891 I-55 South Frontage Road
Jackson, MS 39212
(769) 235-8224 or 1-866-313-2905
Fax (769)251-1017
Executive Director: Sheletta Buckley

Madison, Rankin and Simpson

Southwest Mississippi Opportunities (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 1667
4116 Hwy. 51 South
McComb, MS 39649
(601) 684-5593 or 1-800-250-7730
Fax (601)249-3996
Executive Director: Keisha Butler

Amite, Pike, Walthall and Wilkinson

Sunflower-Humphreys (Nonprofit)
P.O. Box 908
414 Martin Luther King Dr.
Indianola, MS 38751
(662) 887-1431
Fax (662)887-4888
Executive Director: Monica Hope

Humphreys and Sunflower
WWISCAA  
(Nonprofit)  
P.O. Box 1813  
1538 Old Leland Road  
Greenville, MS 38701  
(662) 378-5857 or 1-800-820-8204  
Fax (662)332-5191  
Executive Director: Jannis Williams 

Issaquena, Sharkey, Warren, Washington and Yazoo
ATTACHMENT- TENTATIVE FFY 2022 ALLOCATIONS
(These levels are dependent on the Federal CSBG award at the FFY 2021 level. Adjustments will be made once the final FFY 2022 awards are known.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligible Entities</th>
<th>Est. Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AJFC CAA</td>
<td>$576,429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivar Co CAA</td>
<td>$235,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Mississippi</td>
<td>$718,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coahoma Opportunities</td>
<td>$167,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinds County HRA</td>
<td>$934,863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson Co CAC</td>
<td>$833,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFT</td>
<td>$907,614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-State Opportunities</td>
<td>$666,562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi County CSA</td>
<td>$924,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast MS</td>
<td>$529,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRVO</td>
<td>$1,410,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie Opportunity</td>
<td>$822,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central CAA</td>
<td>$551,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest MS</td>
<td>$353,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower-Humphreys Co</td>
<td>$226,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WWISCAA</td>
<td>$660,273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,519,003</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# ATTACHMENT - FISCAL CONTROLS & MONITORING

CSBG Monitoring Schedule – Last Visits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSBG Eligible Entities</th>
<th>Target Quarter</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AJFC Community Action Agency, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q2</td>
<td>03/14/2022</td>
<td>03/18/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivar County Community Action Agency, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q4</td>
<td>08/15/2022</td>
<td>08/19/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Mississippi, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q4</td>
<td>07/18/2022</td>
<td>07/22/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coahoma Opportunities, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q4</td>
<td>09/05/2022</td>
<td>09/09/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hinds County Human Resource Agency</td>
<td>FY1 Q4</td>
<td>07/11/2022</td>
<td>07/15/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County Civic Action Committee, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q4</td>
<td>07/25/2022</td>
<td>07/29/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFT, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q1</td>
<td>10/10/2022</td>
<td>10/14/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-State Opportunity, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q3</td>
<td>06/20/2022</td>
<td>06/24/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-County Community Services Agency, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q4</td>
<td>09/19/2022</td>
<td>09/23/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast MS Community Services, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q4</td>
<td>08/22/2022</td>
<td>08/26/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearl River Valley Opportunity, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q3</td>
<td>06/13/2022</td>
<td>06/17/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prairie Opportunity, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q4</td>
<td>08/01/2022</td>
<td>08/05/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Central Community Action Agency, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q3</td>
<td>06/20/2022</td>
<td>06/24/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Mississippi Opportunity, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q3</td>
<td>06/27/2022</td>
<td>07/01/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunflower-Humphreys Counties Progress, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q4</td>
<td>09/12/2022</td>
<td>09/16/2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren-Washington-Issaquena-Sharkey Community Action Agency, Inc.</td>
<td>FY1 Q4</td>
<td>09/26/2022</td>
<td>09/30/2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Monitoring has cancelled on-site visits for this year due to COVID-19 and started conducting desk reviews for this program year.*
ATTACHMENT-PROGRAM, FISCAL MONITORING AND AUDIT

Statutory reference 2605 (b)(10)

Fiscal, Accounting and Tracking Requirements
The State requires Subgrantees requesting CSBG funds to submit Monthly Cost Worksheets in Smartsheet. These Cost Worksheets show expenditures such as Case Management, Supportive Services and Administration (by cost category and line items). Subgrantees are required to submit Claim Support Forms (to request funds) based on current needs. Federal funds made available to the State under this title will disburse administrative and programmatic funds to Subgrantees in accordance with the CSBG Act, and the overall contractual allocation for each subgrantee regulates the maximum allowable expenditures. The expenditures and drawdowns are processed in the MDHS-Division of Budgets and Accounting, Office of Procurement Services where the SF-425 Federal Fiscal Reports (FFR) are generated as well as the monthly reports for DCS verification and tracking. Documentation from Virtual ROMA will be required to support costs reflected on Cost Worksheets and Claims Support Forms as a means to adhere to mandates by Division of Monitoring to monitor costs reported for the month. Procedural manuals are in place which covers a range of fiscal and accounting rules and regulations with which Subgrantees must comply. Also, to further account for LIHEAP federal funds, 15 of 16 DCS Subgrantees use the same accounting software, GMS, which allows tracking through Virtual ROMA. It allows easy access in training new personnel, as well as existing personnel in the effective use of the GMS software and DCS requirements.

Subgrantees are required to have and submit an annual audit performed annually by an independent Certified Public Accountant. Also, the State complies with the Single Audit Act requirement.

The State continuously provides training and technical assistance to the subgrantees on program and fiscal management to enhance program compliance and quality service delivery to eligible households, and special training for new staff to ensure program compliance.

Subgrants will be monitored annually by the Division of Monitoring. Periodic reviews to the subgrantee, both announced and unannounced will be conducted by the Division of Community Services.

The Director of Monitoring reviews audit findings and forward them to the Division of Community Services and the Monitoring Supervisor. Audit findings are placed on a spreadsheet and addressed during monitoring visits with the entity and addressed on the monitoring report.
ATTACHMENT-COMPLIANCE MONITORING

Statutory Reference 2605(b)(10)

Division of Monitoring (DPI)

MONITORING

The Mississippi Department of Human Services (MDHS) is required to monitor the activities of its subgrantees by following the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996, the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and the OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement. MDHS shall monitor each project, program, subgrant, function, or activity supported by a Federal award to assure compliance with applicable Federal regulations and that performance goals are achieved.

POLICY

MDHS has established uniform monitoring policies designed to ensure that all subgrants under the jurisdiction of MDHS are administered in compliance with Federal requirements and with the terms of the subgrant agreements. Monitoring subgrants, for compliance with the applicable Federal regulations, State laws, Agency policies, and the terms of the subgrant agreements, is the responsibility of the MDHS Division of Monitoring.

Subgrant monitoring procedures may include several of the various options available. These options include: reviewing reports submitted by the subgrantee; reviewing documentation supporting expenses reported under MDHS subgrants; reviewing the subgrantee's single audit or program-specific audit results and evaluating audit findings and the subgrantee’s corrective action plan; performing on-site reviews of fiscal and programmatic records and observing subgrantee operations; and/or, arranging for limited scope audits of specific compliance areas.

Planning Considerations

When determining the extent of monitoring procedures to perform, MDHS will consider factors such as the amount of the subgrant, the percentage of a Federal program's total funds awarded to subgrantees, and the complexity of the compliance requirements. To determine the appropriateness of monitoring procedures, MDHS will consider the cost-effectiveness of monitoring procedures compared to the relative size and complexity of the Federal awards administered by the subgrantee. The following factors will also be considered when determining the monitoring procedures to be performed to ensure compliance with the Federal regulations, State laws, Agency policies and procedures, and the terms of the subgrant agreement:

1. The amount of the subgrant in relation to the total amount of the program;

2. Prior experience of the subgrantee operating subgrants supported by Federal funds;
3. Results of the MDHS follow-up on prior year single audit findings;

4. Results of the review of documents submitted by the subgrantee;

5. Results of the desk review of supporting documentation for expenditures;

6. Results of previous on-site fiscal and programmatic reviews; and/or,

**Monitoring Procedures**

1. **Review of Reports Submitted by Subgrantees**

Subgrants may be monitored by reviewing reports submitted by the subgrantee for compliance with the subgrant agreement and program instructions. For example, the monthly reporting worksheets may be reviewed for accuracy and completeness or the quarterly programmatic report may be reviewed to ensure that each element of the Scope of Services is being met.

2. **Review of Supporting Documentation for Expenditures**

Subgrants may be monitored by performing a desk review of supporting documentation for expenditures reported under the subgrant. This review consists of contacting the subgrantee and requesting documents to support certain amounts included on the subgrantee’s reporting worksheets. These documents will be examined by the monitors to determine compliance with the subgrant agreement and with State and Federal regulations. The results of the desk review will be communicated in a written report to the subgrantee and to the MDHS Funding Division.

Desk reviews may be conducted at any time by staff of the MDHS, Division of Monitoring. The desk review procedure may be used in lieu of or in conjunction with an on-site fiscal review or as a follow-up to an on-site fiscal review to ensure that corrective actions have been implemented.

Fiscal monitoring through a desk review will include, at a minimum, a review of all documents to support all expenses reported for one month of the subgrant period. Documents shall be reviewed to support costs reported on the reporting worksheet submitted for the month in which the highest dollar amount of expenses was reported as of the date that the schedule letter was prepared to begin the desk review and request support documents.

When fiscal monitoring is initiated through a desk review and sufficient documents are not provided by the subgrantee/contractor to support costs reported on the reporting worksheet, the desk review shall cease, and fiscal monitoring shall be completed through an on-site review.

Fiscal monitoring shall not be performed through a desk review on the first subgrant awarded to a subgrantee. In addition, monitoring through a desk review shall be alternated with on-site reviews so that on-site fiscal monitoring is performed at least every other year.

Monitoring for programmatic compliance cannot be performed through a desk review.
3. Review of the Subgrantee’s Single Audit Report for Compliance, Evaluation of Audit Findings, and Follow-up on Corrective Actions

Subgrantees that expend $500,000.00 or more of Federal financial assistance during the subgrantee's fiscal year shall have an audit performed by an independent Certified Public Accountant in accordance with the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133. As a part of MDHS' monitoring of each of its subgrants, these independent auditor's reports shall be obtained from the subgrantees and reviewed for compliance with Federal regulations.

Any audit findings identified in the independent auditor's reports, as well as the subgrantees proposed corrective action plans, shall be evaluated by the MDHS Funding Divisions. If the subgrantee's corrective action plan is acceptable, a management decision will be issued by the MDHS Funding Division to resolve the audit findings. The MDHS Division of Monitoring will follow-up on the subgrantee's corrective action plan during the next on-site fiscal review that is conducted.

4. On-Site Reviews for Program Compliance

On-site reviews for program compliance are required to be conducted at least once during the subgrant period for every MDHS subgrant. On-site reviews for program compliance may be performed on any subgrant at any time and may be repeated as often as deemed necessary by MDHS. These reviews for program compliance will be conducted by the MDHS Division of Monitoring.

The on-site programmatic reviews are formal in nature and are normally preceded by written notification to the subgrantee and to the appropriate MDHS Funding Division Directors. However, unannounced on-site programmatic reviews may also be conducted. On-site entrance and exit conferences will be conducted with subgrantee officials and a written report will be issued communicating the results of the review to the subgrantee and to the MDHS Funding Divisions. The Agency shall follow-up on the subgrantee's corrective action plan for any programmatic monitoring findings.

5. On-Site Reviews for Fiscal Compliance

On-site reviews for fiscal compliance will be conducted by the Division of Monitoring, as provided under this section. These visits may also be conducted at the request of the MDHS Executive Director or the MDHS Funding Division Director.

These reviews are formal in nature and are normally preceded by written notification to the subgrantee. The appropriate Funding Division Directors shall also receive written notification of on-site fiscal reviews. However, unannounced on-site fiscal reviews may also be conducted. On-site entrance conferences will be conducted with subgrantee officials. Exit conferences will be conducted and a written report will be issued communicating the results of the review to the
subgrantee and to the MDHS Funding Divisions. MDHS shall follow-up on the subgrantee’s corrective action plan for any fiscal monitoring findings.

6. Limited Scope Audits of Specific Compliance Areas

The Agency may engage an independent Certified Public Accountant to perform a Limited Scope Audit of certain MDHS subgrants. The need for this type of review shall be determined on an individual basis and shall be documented by the Division of Monitoring. The results of this review shall be communicated to the subgrantee and to the MDHS Funding Divisions in a written report.

Corrective Action Process

The Initial Report of Findings and Recommendations that is forwarded to the subgrantee and to the MDHS funding division shall require a written response from the subgrantee within fifteen (15) working days. Upon receipt of the response from the subgrantee, the Director of the Division of Monitoring and other appropriate staff shall assess each response for adequacy. If all responses are adequate, a letter will be issued to the subgrantee clearing all findings. If any responses do not adequately address the findings, the subgrantee will be notified in writing by the issuance of a Status Report acceptable to MDHS requiring a second response from the subgrantee within ten (10) working days.

If the subgrantee fails to satisfactorily resolve all of the monitoring findings, the Division of Monitoring will issue a Final Notice Letter to the subgrantee demanding that the subgrantee refund the amount of questioned costs and advising the subgrantee of the procedures to follow if they wish to request an administrative hearing with the MDHS Executive Director.

If the subgrantee does not respond to the Final Notice Letter, the subgrantee will be referred to the State of Mississippi Office of the Attorney General to recover the unresolved questioned costs, and the Agency may begin procedures for debarment and suspension against the subgrantee organization and the subgrantee authorized official.

Discovery of Possible Fraud, Mismanagement, or Program Abuse

In the event indications of possible fraud, mismanagement, or program abuse are discovered during the course of monitoring subgrants, the Director of the Division of Monitoring shall notify the Chief Compliance Officer, who shall notify the MDHS Inspector General. The MDHS Inspector General and appropriate personnel will decide the course of action to be taken, including the degree of disclosure to subgrantee personnel and to the MDHS Funding Divisions. The format for reporting such incidents will be determined by the Chief Compliance Officer and/or the MDHS Inspector General.
Division of Community Services (DCS)

Statutory Reference 2605(b)(10)

TRAINING AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (T&TA)

DCS staff uses several tools and checklists to conduct an overall comprehensive review of the agencies’ operations. Throughout both the programmatic and fiscal review process there should be ongoing, open communication with the staff to facilitate clarification of facts and prevent misunderstandings, provide the reviewer with a full understanding of the agencies’ operations, and provide the agency with a full understanding of the review process.

Preliminary areas of noncompliance should be summarized and discussed with the Executive Director and/or designated staff during the exit conference. Copies of specific documents, supporting schedules, and reports obtained during the site visit to facilitate preparation of the report should be discussed during the exit conference. The agency is given the opportunity to provide comments and present additional information or explanation regarding a specific finding before it is included in the report.

The report should include specific timelines for any required corrective action associated with each finding. Copies of the report should be mailed to agency’s Board and the agency’s Executive Director. A copy should also be provided to the DCS Program Director and Compliance Officer.

The agency is required to respond in writing to each of the findings and observations mentioned in the report, including a detailed plan for taking corrective actions and implementing required changes. The initial response is due within 30 days from the date of the report. The agency’s plan for resolution and corrective action will be reviewed by Compliance Team to ensure that all findings have been adequately addressed.

In the event an agency is unable or unwilling to correct a specified area of noncompliance within the prescribed timeline, DCS will report the deficiency to MDHS-Inspector General. If MDHS determines that the agency remains noncompliance in a specified area, DCS may contact DHHS to initiate proceedings to terminate the organization’s designation as a Community Action Agency.