

Mississippi Secretary of State

700 North Street P. O. Box 136, Jackson, MS 39205-0136

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES NOTICE FILING

AGENCY NAME Board of Animal Health		CONTACT PERSON Dr. Jim Watson	TELEPHONE NUMBER 601-359-1170	
ADDRESS P.O. Box 3889		CITY Jackson	STATE MS	ZIP 39207
EMAIL jimw@mdac.ms.gov	SUBMIT DATE 6-8-22	Name or number of rule(s): Entry Requirements		

Short explanation of rule/amendment/repeal and reason(s) for proposing rule/amendment/repeal: Updating requirements for Animals entering the state.

Specific legal authority authorizing the promulgation of rule: Miss. Code Ann., §69-15-3

List all rules repealed, amended, or suspended by the proposed rule: Title 2, Pt. 101, Subpart 2, Ch. 12, Sec. 100, 105.01, 105.02, 105.03, 105.04, 107.01, 107.03-107.07.

ORAL PROCEEDING:

☐ An oral proceeding is scheduled for this rule on Date: _____ Time: _____ Place: _____

☒ Presently, an oral proceeding is not scheduled on this rule.

If an oral proceeding is not scheduled, an oral proceeding must be held if a written request for an oral proceeding is submitted by a political subdivision, an agency or ten (10) or more persons. The written request should be submitted to the agency contact person at the above address within twenty (20) days after the filing of this notice of proposed rule adoption and should include the name, address, email address, and telephone number of the person(s) making the request; and, if you are an agent or attorney, the name, address, email address, and telephone number of the party or parties you represent. At any time within the twenty-five (25) day public comment period, written submissions including arguments, data, and views on the proposed rule/amendment/repeal may be submitted to the filing agency.

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

☐ Economic impact statement not required for this rule. ☒ Concise summary of economic impact statement attached.

TEMPORARY RULES	PROPOSED ACTION ON RULES	FINAL ACTION ON RULES
_____ Original filing _____ Renewal of effectiveness To be in effect in _____ days Effective date: _____ Immediately upon filing _____ Other (specify): _____	Action proposed: _____ New rule(s) ☒ Amendment to existing rule(s) _____ Repeal of existing rule(s) _____ Adoption by reference Proposed final effective date: ☒ 30 days after filing _____ Other (specify): _____	Date Proposed Rule Filed: _____ Action taken: _____ Adopted with no changes in text _____ Adopted with changes _____ Adopted by reference _____ Withdrawn _____ Repeal adopted as proposed Effective date: _____ 30 days after filing _____ Other (specify): _____

Printed name and Title of person authorized to file rules: James A. Watson, DVM, State Veterinarian

Signature of person authorized to file rules: *[Signature]*

OFFICIAL FILING STAMP	DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE OFFICIAL FILING STAMP	OFFICIAL FILING STAMP
Accepted for filing by _____	 Accepted for filing by <i>26386 PMS</i>	Accepted for filing by _____

The entire text of the Proposed Rule including the text of any rule being amended or changed is attached.



DELBERT HOSEMAN
Secretary of State

CONCISE SUMMARY OF ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. This is a Concise Summary of the Economic Impact Statement which must be filed with the Secretary of State's Office.

AGENCY NAME Board of Animal Health	CONTACT PERSON Dr. Jim Watson	TELEPHONE NUMBER 601-359-1170
ADDRESS P.O. Box 3889	CITY Jackson	STATE MS
EMAIL msstatevet@mdac.ms.gov	ZIP 39207	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE Entry Requirements
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule: Miss. Code Ann., §69-15-3	Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule: Title 2, pt. 101, Subpart 2, Ch. 12, Sec. 100, 105.01, 105.02, 105.03, 105.04, 107.01, 107.03-107.07	

A. Estimated Costs and Benefits

1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit:
Response: This amendment will clarify the requirements for livestock entering Mississippi from other states, and also clarifies the disease testing as well as animal identification required to fulfill those movement requirements.
2. Briefly describe the need for the proposed rule:
Response: This rule was very outdated and needed to be updated to meet the new disease and identification requirements of animals moving interstate, based on the Federal Animal Disease Traceability Rule.
3. Briefly describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare:
Response: This amendment makes it easier for the public to determine what the requirements are for bringing livestock into Mississippi, as well as updating the animal identification requirements, which will protect animal and public safety by making animals more traceable in case of disease outbreak.
4. Estimated Cost of implementing proposed action:
 - a. To the agency
☒ Nothing ☐ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☐ Substantial ☐ Excessive
 - b. To other state or local government entities
☒ Nothing ☐ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☐ Substantial ☐ Excessive
5. Estimated Cost and/or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed rule:
 - c. Cost:
☒ Nothing ☐ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☐ Substantial ☐ Excessive
 - d. Economic Benefit:

☐ Nothing ☐ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☒ Substantial ☐ Excessive

6. Estimated impact on small businesses:

☐ Nothing ☒ Minimal ☐ Moderate ☐ Substantial ☐ Excessive

- a. Estimate of the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation: 17,000
- b. Projected costs for small businesses to comply: Nothing
- c. Statement of probable effect on impacted small businesses: None to negligible

7. The cost of adopting the rule compared to not adopting the rule or significantly amending the existing rule (check option):

☒ substantially less than ☐ moderately less than ☐ minimally less than
☐ the same as ☐ minimally more than ☐ moderately more than
☐ substantially more than ☐ excessively more than

8. The benefit of adopting the rule compared to not adopting the rule or significantly amending the existing rule (check option):

☐ substantially less than ☐ moderately less than ☐ minimally less than
☐ the same as ☐ minimally more than ☐ moderately more than
☐ substantially more than ☒ excessively more than

B. Reasonable Alternative Methods

1. Other than adopting this rule, are there less costly or less intrusive methods for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule?
☐ yes ☒ no

2. If yes, please briefly describe available, reasonable alternative(s) and the reasons for rejecting those alternatives in favor of the proposed rule. (Please see §25-43-4.104 for factors you must consider.)

C. Data and Methodology

1. Please briefly describe the data and methodology you used in making the estimates required by this form.

Response: The Federal Animal Disease Traceability Rule governing the movement of livestock in interstate commerce is the model

D. Public Notice

1. Where, when, and how may someone present their views on the proposed rule and request an oral proceeding on the proposed rule if one is not already scheduled?

Response: Call 601-359-1170 or email msstatevet@mdac.ms.gov

SIGNATURE

X

DATE

June 8, 2022

TITLE

State Veterinarian

PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE

August 6, 2022



DELBERT HOSEMAN
Secretary of State

ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

An Economic Impact Statement is required for this proposed rule by Section 25-43-3.105 of the Administrative Procedures Act. An Economic Impact Statement must be attached to this Form and address the factors below. A **PDF** document containing this executed Form and the Economic Impact Statement must be filed with any proposed rule, if required by the aforementioned statute.

AGENCY NAME Board of Animal Health	CONTACT PERSON Dr Jim Watson	TELEPHONE NUMBER 601-359-1170
ADDRESS PO Box 3889	CITY Jackson	STATE MS ZIP 39207
EMAIL msstatevet@mdac.ms.gov	DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF PROPOSED RULE Entry Requirements	
Specific Legal Authority Authorizing the promulgation of Rule: Miss. Code Ann., §69-15-3		Reference to Rules repealed, amended or suspended by the Proposed Rule: Title 2, Pt. 101, Subpart 2, Ch. 12, Sec. 100, 105.01, 105.02, 105.03, 105.04, 107.01, 107.03-107.07

SIGNATURE X	TITLE State Veterinarian
DATE June 8, 2022	PROPOSED EFFECTIVE DATE OF RULE August 6, 2022

- Describe the need for the proposed action:
Response: CLARIFICATION OF ENTRY REQUIREMENTS OF LIVESTOCK
- Describe the benefits which will likely accrue as the result of the proposed action:
Response: PROPER IDENTIFICATION OF LIVESTOCK ENTERING MISSISSIPPI
- Describe the effect the proposed action will have on the public health, safety, and welfare:
Response: IMPROVE THE ABILITY TO TRACE POTENTIALLY INFECTED ANIMALS AND STOP OUTBREAK OF DISEASE WHICH COULD HAVE LARGE NEGATIVE ECONOMIC AND ANIMAL HEALTH CONSEQUENCES
- Estimate the cost to the agency and to any other state or local government entities, of implementing and enforcing the proposed action, including the estimated amount of paperwork, and any anticipated effect on state or local revenues:
Response: NONE
- Estimate the cost or economic benefit to all persons directly affected by the proposed action:
Response: THERE IS NO INCREASED COST TO THE PRODUCER, THE ECONOMIC BENEFIT IS THE ABILITY TO TRACE POTENTIALLY INFECTED ANIMALS AS A RESULT OF THIS REGULATION AMENDMENT

6. Provide an analysis of the impact of the proposed rule on small business:
- Identify and estimate the number of small businesses subject to the proposed regulation: 17,000
 - Provide the projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance with the proposed regulation, including the type of professional skills necessary for preparation of the report or record: THERE IS NO ADDITIONAL COST AS A RESULT OF THIS REGULATION
 - State the probable effect on impacted small businesses: NONE TO NEGLIGIBLE
 - Describe any less intrusive or less costly alternative methods of achieving the purpose of the proposed regulation including the following regulatory flexibility analysis:
 - The establishment of less stringent compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses; None
 - The establishment of less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses; None
 - The consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements for small businesses; None
 - The establishment of performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; None
 - The exemption of some or all small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the proposed regulations: NONE
7. Compare the costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of not adopting the proposed rule or significantly amending an existing rule:
Response: NO COST TO IMPLEMENT THE RULE, BUT A LARGE COST IF INFECTED ANIMALS CAN NOT BE TRACED AS A RESULT OF NOT IMPLEMENTING THE RULE
8. Determine whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule where reasonable alternative methods exist which are not precluded by law:
Response: NONE
9. Describe reasonable alternative methods, where applicable, for achieving the purpose of the proposed action which were considered by the agency:
Response: NONE
10. State reasons for rejecting alternative methods that were described in #9 above:
Response: THESE ARE THE FEDERAL AND NATIONAL STANDARDS WHICH NEED TO BE FOLLOWED TO PROTECT MISSISSIPPI FROM DISEASE ENTERING THE STATE, AND TO TRACE POTENTIALLY INFECTED OR EXPOSED ANIMALS THAT MAY COME INTO MISSISSIPPI
11. Provide a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in making estimates required by this subsection:
Response: USED THE FEDERAL ANIMAL DISEASE TRACEABILITY RULE AS THE GUIDELINE FOR THIS AMENDMENT